

Fujitsu Server PRIMERGY Performance Report PRIMERGY TX1310 M5 / TX1320 M5 / TX1330 M5

This document provides an overview of benchmarks executed on the Fujitsu Server PRIMERGY TX1310 M5 / TX1320 M5 / TX1330 M5.

Explains PRIMERGY TX1310 M5 / TX1320 M5 / TX1330 M5 performance data in comparison to other PRIMERGY models. In addition to the benchmark results, the explanation for each benchmark and benchmark environment are also included.

Version
1.3
2023-10-03



Contents

Technical data	3
SPEC CPU2017	7
Benchmark description	7
Benchmark environment	9
Benchmark results.....	11
STREAM	15
Benchmark description	15
Benchmark environment	16
Benchmark results.....	17
SPECpower_ssj2008	19
Benchmark description	19
Benchmark environment	20
Benchmark results (Linux)	23
Benchmark results (Windows).....	26
Comparison with the predecessor	29
Disk I/O: Performance of storage media	32
Benchmark description	32
Benchmark environment	33
Benchmark results.....	36
Storage media performance.....	38
Literature	41

Technical data

PRIMERGY TX1310 M5



PRIMERGY TX1320 M5



PRIMERGY TX1330 M5



Decimal prefixes according to the SI standard are used for measurement units in this white paper (e.g. 1 GB = 10⁹ bytes). In contrast, these prefixes should be interpreted as binary prefixes (e.g. 1 GB = 2³⁰ bytes) for the capacities of caches and memory modules. Separate reference will be made to any further exceptions where applicable.

Model	PRIMERGY TX1310 M5	PRIMERGY TX1320 M5	PRIMERGY TX1330 M5
Form factor	Tower server		Tower / Rack server
Chipset	Intel C256		
Number of sockets	1		
Number of processors orderable	1		
Processor type	Intel Xeon E-2300 processor family / Intel Pentium Gold G6405		
Number of memory slots	4		
Maximum memory configuration	128 GB		
Onboard HDD controller	Controller with RAID (0/1, or 5/6) function		
PCI slots	PCI-Express 4.0 (x16 lane): 1 (Full height) PCI-Express 3.0 (x1 lane): 1 (Full height) PCI-Express 3.0 (x4 lane): 2 (Full height)	PCI-Express 4.0 (x8 lane): 2 (Low profile) PCI-Express 3.0 (x4 lane): 1 (Low profile)	PCI-Express 4.0 (x8 lane): 2 (Full height) PCI-Express 3.0 (x4 lane): 1 (Full height)
Max. number of internal storage	4 x 3.5 inches	8 x 2.5 inches or 2 x 3.5 inches	24 x 2.5 inches or 12 x 3.5 inches

Processor model	Number of cores	Number of threads	Cache [MB]	Rated frequency [GHz]	Maximum turbo frequency [GHz]	Maximum memory frequency [MHz]	TDP [W]
Xeon E-2388G*1	8	16	12	3.2	5.1	3,200	95
Xeon E-2386G*1	6	12	12	3.5	5.1	3,200	95
Xeon E-2378G*1	8	16	16	2.8	5.1	3,200	80
Xeon E-2378*1	8	16	16	2.6	4.8	3,200	65
Xeon E-2374G	4	8	8	3.7	5.0	3,200	80
Xeon E-2356G	6	12	12	3.2	5.0	3,200	80
Xeon E-2336*1	6	12	12	2.9	4.8	3,200	65
Xeon E-2334*1	4	8	8	3.4	4.8	3,200	65
Xeon E-2324G	4	4	8	3.1	4.6	3,200	65
Xeon E-2314*1	4	4	8	2.8	4.5	3,200	65
Pentium Gold G6405	2	4	4	4.1	-	2,666	58

*1 not supported by TX1310 M5

All processors that can be ordered with PRIMERGY TX1310 M5 / TX1320 M5 / TX1330 M5 support Intel Turbo Boost Technology 2.0. This technology allows you to operate the processor with higher frequencies than the rated frequency. The "maximum turbo frequency" listed in the processor list above is the theoretical maximum frequency when there is only one active core per processor. The maximum frequency that can actually be achieved depends on the number of active cores, current consumption, power consumption, and processor temperature.

As a general rule, Intel does not guarantee that maximum turbo frequencies will be achieved. This is related to manufacturing tolerances, and the performance of each individual processor model varies from each other. The range of difference covers the range including all of the rated frequency and the maximum turbo frequency. The turbo function can be set in the BIOS option. Generally, Fujitsu always recommends leaving the [Turbo Mode] option set at the standard setting [Enabled], as performance is substantially increased by the higher frequencies. However, the Turbo Mode frequency depends on the operating conditions mentioned above and is not always guaranteed. The turbo frequency fluctuates in applications where AVX instructions are used intensively and the number of instructions per clock is large. If you need stable performance or want to reduce power consumption, it may be beneficial to set the [Turbo Mode] option to [Disabled] to disable the turbo function.

Memory modules									
Type	Capaci ty	Numbe r of ranks	Bit width of the memor y chips	Freque ncy	3DS	Load Reduced	Regis tered	NVD IMM	EC C
	[GB]			[MHz]					
8 GB (1x8 GB) 1Rx8 DDR4-3200 U ECC	8	1	8	3,200					✓
16 GB (1x16 GB) 1Rx8 DDR4-3200 U ECC	16	1	8	3,200					✓
16 GB (1x16 GB) 2Rx8 DDR4-3200 U ECC	16	2	8	3,200					✓
32 GB (1x 32 GB) 2Rx8 DDR4-3200 U ECC	32	2	8	3,200					✓

Power supplies	Maximum number		
	TX1310 M5	TX1320 M5	TX1330 M5
Standard PSU 250W	1	1	--
Standard PSU 300W	--	--	1
Modular PSU 500 W platinum	--	2	2
Modular PSU 900 W platinum	--	--	2

Includes components that will be supported after the system release. Also, some components may not be available in all countries or sales regions.

Detailed technical information is available in the data sheet of PRIMERGY TX1310 M5 / TX1320 M5 / TX1330 M5.

SPEC CPU2017

Benchmark description

SPEC CPU2017 is a benchmark which measures the system efficiency with integer and floating-point operations. It consists of an integer test suite (SPECrate 2017 Integer, SPECspeed 2017 Integer) containing 10 applications and a floating-point test suite (SPECrate 2017 Floating Point, SPECspeed 2017 Floating Point) containing 14 applications. Both test suites are extremely computing-intensive and concentrate on the CPU and the memory. Other components, such as Disk I/O and network, are not measured by this benchmark.

SPEC CPU2017 is not tied to a special operating system. The benchmark is available as source code and is compiled before the actual measurement. The used compiler version and their optimization settings also affect the measurement result.

SPEC CPU2017 contains two different performance measurement methods. The first method (SPECspeed 2017 Integer or SPECspeed 2017 Floating Point) determines the time which is required to process a single task. The second method (SPECrate 2017 Integer or SPECrate 2017 Floating Point) determines the throughput, i.e. the number of tasks that can be handled in parallel. Both methods are also divided into two measurement runs, "base" and "peak." They differ in the use of compiler optimization. When publishing the results, the base values are always used and the peak values are optional.

Benchmark	Number of single benchmarks	Arithmetics	Type	Compiler optimization	Measurement result	
SPECspeed2017_int_peak	10	Integer	Aggressive (peak)	Speed	Performance	
SPECspeed2017_int_energy_peak					Power efficiency	
SPECspeed2017_int_base	10		Conservative (base)		Throughput	Performance
SPECspeed2017_int_energy_base						Power efficiency
SPECspeed2017_int_peak	10		Aggressive (peak)	Throughput		Performance
SPECspeed2017_int_energy_peak						Power efficiency
SPECspeed2017_int_base	10		Conservative (base)		Throughput	Performance
SPECspeed2017_int_energy_base						Power efficiency
SPECspeed2017_int_peak	10	Floating point	Aggressive (peak)	Speed		Performance
SPECspeed2017_int_energy_peak						Power efficiency
SPECspeed2017_int_base	10		Conservative (base)		Throughput	Performance
SPECspeed2017_int_energy_base						Power efficiency
SPECspeed2017_int_peak	13		Aggressive (peak)	Throughput		Performance
SPECspeed2017_int_energy_peak						Power efficiency
SPECspeed2017_int_base	13		Conservative (base)		Throughput	Performance
SPECspeed2017_int_energy_base						Power efficiency

The measurement results are the geometric average from normalized ratio values which have been determined for individual benchmarks. The geometric average - in contrast to the arithmetic average - means that there is a weighting in favor of the lower individual results. "Normalized" means that the measurement is how fast is the test system compared to a reference system. For example, value "1" was defined for the SPECspeed2017_int_base, SPECrate2017_int_base, SPECspeed2017_fp_base, and SPECrate2017_fp_base results of the reference system. A SPECspeed2017_int_base value of 2 means that the measuring system has handled this benchmark twice as fast as the reference system. A SPECrate2017_fp_base value of 4 means that the measuring system has handled this benchmark about $4/[\# \text{ base copies}]$ times faster than the reference system. "# base copies" specifies how many parallel instances of the benchmark have been executed.

Not every SPEC CPU2017 measurement is submitted by Fujitsu for publication at SPEC. This is why the SPEC web pages do not have every result. As Fujitsu archives the log files for all measurements, it is possible to prove the correct implementation of the measurements at any time.

Benchmark environment

System Under Test (SUT)

Hardware

• Model	PRIMERGY TX1310 M5 / TX1320 M5 / TX1330 M5
• Processor	Intel Xeon E-2300 processor family / Intel Pentium Gold G6405
• Memory	2 x 16 GB 2Rx8 PC4-3200AA-E

Software

• BIOS settings	<p>SPECspeed2017_int_base:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Energy Efficient Turbo = Disabled • SA GV High Gear = Gear1 • FAN Control = Full*1 <p>SPECSpeed2017_fp_base:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Hyper Threading = Disabled • Package C-State un-demotion = Enabled • REFRESH_2X_MODE = 2- Enabled HOT only • FAN Control = Full*1 <p>SPECrate2017_int_base:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Adjacent Cache Line Prefetch = Disabled • Package C-State limit = C6 • Per Core P State OS control mode = Disabled • FAN Control = Full*1 <p>SPECrate2017_fp_base:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Hyper Threading = Disabled • C-States Auto Demotion = Disabled • C-States Un Demotion = Disabled • DDR Speed Control = Auto • DMI Gen3 ASPM = ASPM L0s • FAN Control = Full*1 <p>*1 except energy metrix</p>
• Operating system	SUSE Linux Enterprise Server 15 SP3 5.3.18-57-default
• Operating system settings	<p>Stack size set to unlimited using "ulimit -s unlimited"</p> <p>SPECspeed2017_int, SPECrate2017_int: cpubower -c all frequency-set -g performance</p> <p>SPECrate2017_fp: echo madvise > /sys/kernel/mm/transparent_hugepage/enabled</p>
• Compiler	<p>Fortran: Version 2021.1 of Intel Fortran Compiler for Linux</p> <p>SPECspeed2017_fp: C/C++: Version 2021.1 of Intel C/C++ Compiler for Linux</p>

SPECspeed2017_int, SPECrate:

C/C++: Version 2021.1 of Intel oneAPI DPC++/C++ Compiler for Linux

Some components may not be available in all countries or sales regions.

Benchmark results

In terms of processors, the benchmark result depends primarily on the size of the processor cache, the support for Hyper-Threading, the number of processor cores, and the processor frequency. In the case of processors with Turbo mode, the number of cores, which are loaded by the benchmark, determines the maximum processor frequency that can be achieved. In the case of single-threaded benchmarks, which largely load one core only, the maximum processor frequency that can be achieved is higher than with multi-threaded benchmarks.

The results with "est." are the estimated values.


Processor	Number of cores	SPECrate2017_int_base			SPECrate2017_fp_base		
		TX1310 M5	TX1320 M5	TX1330 M5	TX1310 M5	TX1320 M5	TX1330 M5
Xeon E-2388G	8	Unsupported	69.2	69.3	Unsupported	60.0	60.0
Xeon E-2386G	6	Unsupported	57.9 est.	58.0 est.	Unsupported	53.7 est.	53.7 est.
Xeon E-2378G	8	Unsupported	65.3 est.	65.4 est.	Unsupported	57.7 est.	57.7 est.
Xeon E-2378	8	Unsupported	57.1 est.	57.2 est.	Unsupported	53.4 est.	53.4 est.
Xeon E-2374G	4	42.9 est.	42.3 est.	42.4 est.	44.2 est.	43.8 est.	43.8 est.
Xeon E-2356G	6	56.5	55.7 est.	55.8 est.	52.8	52.4 est.	52.4 est.
Xeon E-2336	6	Unsupported	52.5 est.	52.6 est.	Unsupported	50.6 est.	50.6 est.
Xeon E-2334	4	Unsupported	39.3 est.	39.4 est.	Unsupported	41.8 est.	41.8 est.
Xeon E-2324G	4	34.8 est.	34.3 est.	34.3 est.	42.5 est.	42.2 est.	42.2 est.
Xeon E-2314	4	Unsupported	29.0 est.	29.1 est.	Unsupported	38.5 est.	38.5 est.
Pentium Gold G6405	2	8.95 est.	16.2 est.	16.3 est.	17.2 est.	17.1 est.	17.1 est.

Processor	Number of cores	SPECspeed2017_int_base			SPECspeed2017_fp_base		
		TX1310 M5	TX1320 M5	TX1330 M5	TX1310 M5	TX1320 M5	TX1330 M5
Xeon E-2388G	8	Unsupported	15.8	15.8	Unsupported	45.4	45.2
Xeon E-2386G	6	Unsupported	15.2 est.	15.2 est.	Unsupported	41.7 est.	41.5 est.
Xeon E-2378G	8	Unsupported	15.6 est.	15.6 est.	Unsupported	43.8 est.	43.6 est.
Xeon E-2378	8	Unsupported	14.8 est.	14.8 est.	Unsupported	41.2 est.	41.0 est.
Xeon E-2374G	4	14.8 est.	14.6 est.	14.6 est.	35.1 est.	34.9 est.	34.7 est.
Xeon E-2356G	6	15.0	14.9 est.	14.9 est.	41.0	40.8 est.	40.6 est.
Xeon E-2336	6	Unsupported	14.4 est.	14.4 est.	Unsupported	39.5 est.	39.3 est.
Xeon E-2334	4	Unsupported	14.0 est.	14.0 est.	Unsupported	33.7 est.	33.5 est.
Xeon E-2324G	4	13.2 est.	13.1 est.	13.1 est.	34.2 est.	34.0 est.	33.8 est.
Xeon E-2314	4	Unsupported	12.7 est.	12.7 est.	Unsupported	30.9 est.	30.7 est.
Pentium Gold G6405	2	8.95 est.	8.86 est.	8.87 est.	14.6 est.	14.5 est.	14.5 est.


Processor	Number of cores	TX1330 M5			
		SPECrate2017 int_energy_base	SPECrate2017 fp_energy_base	SPECspeed2017 int_energy_base	SPECspeed2017 fp_energy_base
Xeon E-2388G	8	600	541	277	392

The following graph compares the throughput of PRIMERGY TX1310 M5 / TX1320 M5 / TX1330 M5 and its older model, PRIMERGY RX1330 M4,, with maximum performance configurations.

SPECrate2017: Comparison of PRIMERGY TX1320 M5 and PRIMERG TX1320 M5



SPECrate2017: Comparison of PRIMERGY TX1330 M5 and PRIMERG TX1330 M5



Measurement results of SPECcpu 2017 (January 5, 2022)



On January 5th, 2022, PRIMERGY TX1320 M5 and TX1330 M5 with an Intel Xeon E-2388G processor won first place in the 1-socket Intel Xeon category of the SPECspeed2017_int_base benchmark.



On January 5th, 2022, PRIMERGY TX1320 M5 and TX1330 M5 with an Intel Xeon E-2388G processor won first place in the 1-socket Intel Xeon category of the SPECrate2017_fp_base benchmark.



On January 5th, 2022, PRIMERGY TX1330 M5 with an Intel Xeon E-2388G processor won first place in the 1-socket Intel Xeon category of the SPECspeed2017_int_energy_base benchmark.



On January 5th, 2022, PRIMERGY TX1330 M5 with an Intel Xeon E-2388G processor won first place in the 1-socket Intel Xeon category of the SPECspeed2017_fp_energy_base benchmark.



On January 5th, 2022, PRIMERGY TX1330 M5 with an Intel Xeon E-2388G processor won first place in the 1-socket Intel Xeon category of the SPECrate2017_int_energy_base benchmark.



On January 5th, 2022, PRIMERGY TX1330 M5 with an Intel Xeon E-2388G processor won first place in the 1-socket Intel Xeon category of the SPECrate2017_fp_energy_base benchmark.

STREAM

Benchmark description

STREAM is a synthetic benchmark that has been used for many years to determine memory throughput and was developed by John McCalpin during his professorship at the University of Delaware. Today STREAM is supported at the University of Virginia, where the source code can be downloaded in either Fortran or C. STREAM continues to play an important role in the HPC environment in particular. It is for example an integral part of the HPC Challenge benchmark suite.

The benchmark is designed in such a way that it can be used both on PCs and on server systems. The unit of measurement of the benchmark is GB/s, i.e. the number of gigabytes that can be read and written per second.

STREAM measures the memory throughput for sequential accesses. These can generally be performed more efficiently than accesses that are randomly distributed on the memory, because the processor caches are used for sequential access.

Before execution the source code is adapted to the environment to be measured. Therefore, the size of the data area must be at least 12 times larger than the total of all last-level processor caches so that these have as little influence as possible on the result. The OpenMP program library is used to enable selected parts of the program to be executed in parallel during the runtime of the benchmark. This provides optimal load distribution for the available processor cores.

In the STREAM benchmark, a data area consisting of 8-byte elements is continuously copied to four operation types. Arithmetic operations are also performed on operation types other than COPY.

Arithmetics type	Arithmetics	Bytes per step	Floating-point calculation per step
COPY	$a(i) = b(i)$	16	0
SCALE	$a(i) = q \times b(i)$	16	1
SUM	$a(i) = b(i) + c(i)$	24	1
TRIAD	$a(i) = b(i) + q \times c(i)$	24	2

The throughput is output in GB/s for each type of calculation. The differences between the various values are usually only minor on modern systems. In general, only the determined TRIAD value is used as a comparison.

The measured results primarily depend on the clock frequency of the memory modules. The processors influence the arithmetic calculations.

In this chapter, throughputs are indicated as a power of 10. (1 GB/s = 10^9 Byte/s)

Benchmark environment

System Under Test (SUT)

Hardware

• Model	PRIMERGY TX1310 M5 / TX1320 M5 / TX1330 M5
• Processor	Intel Xeon E-2300 processor family / Intel Pentium Gold G6405
• Memory	2 x 32 GB 2Rx8 PC4-3200AA-E

Software

• BIOS settings	• FAN Control = Full
• Operating system	SUSE Linux Enterprise Server 15 SP3 5.3.18-57-default
• Operating system settings	Default
• Compiler	C/C++: Version 2021.1 of Intel C/C++ Compiler for Linux
• Benchmark	STREAM Version 5.10

Some components may not be available in all countries or sales regions.


Benchmark results

The results with "est." are the estimated values.

Processor	Memory frequency [MHz]	Maximum memory bandwidth [GB/s]	Number of cores	Rated frequency [GHz]	TRIAD [GB/s]		
					TX1310 M5	TX1320 M5	TX1330 M5
Xeon E-2388G	3200	51.2	8	3.2	Unsupported	43.8 est.	43.8
Xeon E-2386G	3200	51.2	6	3.5	Unsupported	44.1 est.	44.1
Xeon E-2378G	3200	51.2	8	2.8	Unsupported	43.8 est.	43.8
Xeon E-2378	3200	51.2	8	2.6	Unsupported	43.7 est.	43.7
Xeon E-2374G	3200	51.2	4	3.7	43.2 est.	43.2 est.	43.2
Xeon E-2356G	3200	51.2	6	3.2	44.2 est.	44.2 est.	44.2
Xeon E-2336	3200	51.2	6	2.9	Unsupported	44.1 est.	44.1
Xeon E-2334	3200	51.2	4	3.4	Unsupported	42.9 est.	42.9
Xeon E-2324G	2933	51.2	4	3.1	43.0 est.	43.0 est.	43.0
Xeon E-2314	3200	51.2	4	2.8	Unsupported	43.1 est.	43.1
Pentium Gold G6405	3200	42.7	2	4.1	35.3 est.	35.3 est.	35.3

The following diagram illustrates the throughput of the TX1330 M5 in comparison to its predecessor, the TX1330 M4.

STREAM TRIAD: Comparison of PRIMERGY TX1330 M5 and PRIMERGY TX1330 M4





SPECpower_ssj2008

Benchmark description

SPECpower_ssj2008 is the first industry-standard SPEC benchmark that evaluates the power and performance characteristics of a server. With SPECpower_ssj2008 SPEC has defined standards for server power measurements in the same way they have done for performance.

The benchmark workload represents typical server-side Java business applications. The workload is scalable, multi-threaded, portable across a wide range of platforms, and easy to run. The benchmark tests CPUs, caches, the memory hierarchy, and scalability of symmetric multiprocessor systems (SMPs), as well as the implementation of Java Virtual Machine (JVM), Just In Time (JIT) compilers, garbage collection, threads, and some aspects of the operating system.

SPECpower_ssj2008 reports power consumption for servers at different performance levels — from 100% to “active idle” in 10% segments — over a set period of time. The graduated workload recognizes the fact that processing loads and power consumption on servers vary substantially over the course of days or weeks. To compute a power-performance metric across all levels, measured transaction throughputs for each segment are added together and then divided by the sum of the average power consumed for each segment. The result is a figure of merit called “overall ssj_ops/watt”. This ratio provides information about the energy efficiency of the measured server. The defined measurement standard enables customers to compare it with other configurations and servers measured with SPECpower_ssj2008. The diagram shows a typical graph of a SPECpower_ssj2008 result.



The benchmark runs on a wide variety of operating systems and hardware architectures and does not require extensive client or storage infrastructure. The minimum equipment for SPEC-compliant testing is two networked computers, plus a power analyzer and a temperature sensor. One computer is the System Under Test (SUT) which runs one of the supported operating systems and the JVM. The JVM provides the environment required to run the SPECpower_ssj2008 workload which is implemented in Java. The other computer is a “Control & Collection System” (CCS) which controls the operation of the benchmark and captures the power, performance, and temperature readings for reporting. The diagram provides an overview of the basic structure of the benchmark configuration and the various components.

Benchmark environment

System Under Test (SUT)

For Linux OS measurement

Hardware

• Model	PRIMERGY TX1310 M5 / TX1320 M5 / TX1330 M5
• Processor	1 x Intel Xeon E-2356G (TX1310 M5), 1 x Intel Xeon E-2388G (TX1320 M5 / TX1330 M5)
• Memory	2 x 8 GB 1Rx8 PC4-3200AA-ED2-11
• Network interface	2 x Intel I210 Gigabit Network Connection (onboard)
• Disk subsystem	1 x SSD M.2 240GB, S26361-F5787-E240
• Power Supply Unit	1 x 250 W, S26113-E591-V70-2 (TX1310 M5) 1 x 250 W, S26113-E564-V71-1 (TX1320 M5) 1 x 300 W, S26113-E581-V50-1 (TX1330 M5)

Software

• BIOS	R1.30.0
• BIOS settings	ASPM Support = Auto Hardware Prefetcher = Disabled Adjacent Cache Line Prefetch = Disabled Intel Virtualization Technology = Disabled DDR Speed Control = Auto DMI Gen3 ASPM = Auto DMI Link ASPM Control = Auto SATA Controller Port0/1/2/3/4/6 = Disabled Serial Port = Disabled LAN2 Controller = Disabled
• iRMC Firmware	1.01S
• Operating system	SUSE Linux Enterprise Server 15 SP3, 5.3.18-57-default
• Operating system settings	kernel parameter: pcie_aspm=force pcie_aspm.policy=powersave intel_pstate=passive Benchmark started via ssh. modprobe cpufreq_conservative cpupower frequency-set -g conservative echo 3000000 > /sys/devices/system/cpu/cpufreq/conservative/sampling_rate echo 92 > /sys/devices/system/cpu/cpufreq/conservative/up_threshold echo 1 > /sys/devices/system/cpu/cpufreq/conservative/freq_step echo 91 > /sys/devices/system/cpu/cpufreq/conservative/down_threshold echo always > /sys/kernel/mm/transparent_hugepage/enabled cpupower frequency-set -u 3200MHz (TX1310 M5) cpupower frequency-set -u 2800MHz (TX1320 M5) cpupower frequency-set -u 3300MHz (TX1330 M5) sysctl -w kernel.nmi_watchdog=0 echo 0000:00:14:0 > /sys/bus/pci/drivers/xhci_hcd/unbind (TX1310 M5)
• JVM	Oracle Java HotSpot 64-Bit Server VM 18.9 (build 11.0.9+7-LTS, mixed mode)
• JVM settings	-server -Xmn9500m -Xms11000m -Xmx11000m -XX:+UseHugeTLBFS -XX:+UseLargePages -XX:+UseTransparentHugePages - XX:AllocatePrefetchDistance=256 -XX:AllocatePrefetchInstr=0 -XX:AllocatePrefetchLines=4 - XX:InlineSmallCode=3900

```
-XX:MaxInlineSize=270 -XX:ParallelGCThreads=8 -XX:SurvivorRatio=1
-XX:TargetSurvivorRatio=99 -XX:+UseParallelOldGC -XX:FreqInlineSize=2500
-XX:MinJumpTableSize=18 -XX:UseAVX=0 -XX:+UseBiasedLocking
-XX:-ThreadLocalHandshakes
```

For Windows OS measurement

Hardware

• Model	PRIMERGY TX1310 M5 / TX1320 M5 / TX1330 M5
• Processor	1 x Intel Xeon E-2356G (TX1310 M5), 1 x Intel Xeon E-2388G (TX1320 M5 / TX1330 M5)
• Memory	2 x 8 GB 1Rx8 PC4-3200AA-ED2-11
• Network interface	2 x Intel I210 Gigabit Network Connection (onboard)
• Disk subsystem	1 x SSD M.2 240GB, S26361-F5787-E240
• Power Supply Unit	1 x 250 W, S26113-E591-V70-2 (TX1310 M5) 1 x 250 W, S26113-E564-V71-1 (TX1320 M5) 1 x 300 W, S26113-E581-V50-1 (TX1330 M5)

Software

• BIOS	R1.30.0
• BIOS settings	ASPM Support = Auto Hardware Prefetcher = Disabled Adjacent Cache Line Prefetch = Disabled Intel Virtualization Technology = Disabled DMI Max Link Speed = Gen1 DDR Speed Control = Auto DMI Gen3 ASPM = Auto DMI Link ASPM Control = Auto SATA Controller Port0/1/2/3/4/6 = Disabled Serial Port = Disabled LAN2 Controller = Disabled
• iRMC Firmware	1.01S
• Operating system	Microsoft Windows Server 2019 Standard
• Operating system settings	Turn off hard disk after = 1 Minute Turn off display after = 1 Minute Minimum processor state = 0% Maximum processor state = 100% Using the local security settings console, "lock pages in memory" was enabled for the user running the benchmark. Benchmark was started via Windows Remote Desktop Connection.
• JVM	Oracle Java HotSpot 64-Bit Server VM 18.9 (build 11.0.9+7-LTS, mixed mode)
• JVM settings	-server -Xmn10500m -Xms12000m -Xmx12000m (TX1310 M5) -Xmn11500m -Xms13000m -Xmx13000m (TX1320 M5 / TX1330 M5) -XX:SurvivorRatio=1 -XX:TargetSurvivorRatio=99 -XX:ParallelGCThreads=2 -XX:AllocatePrefetchDistance=256 -XX:AllocatePrefetchLines=4 - XX:LoopUnrollLimit=45 -XX:InitialTenuringThreshold=12 -XX:MaxTenuringThreshold=15 - XX:InlineSmallCode=3900 -XX:MaxInlineSize=270 -XX:FreqInlineSize=2500 -XX:+UseLargePages -XX:+UseParallelOldGC -XX:UseAVX=0 -XX:-UseAdaptiveSizePolicy


| -XX:-ThreadLocalHandshakes

Some components may not be available in all countries or sales regions.

Benchmark results (Linux)

The PRIMERGY TX1310 M5 in SUSE Linux Enterprise Server 15 SP3 achieved the following result:

SPECpower_ssj2008 = 9,993 overall ssj_ops/watt




The adjoining diagram shows the result of the configuration described above. The red horizontal bars show the performance to power ratio in ssj_ops/watt (upper x-axis) for each target load level tagged on the y-axis of the diagram. The blue line shows the run of the curve for the average power consumption (bottom x-axis) at each target load level marked with a small rhomb. The black vertical line shows the benchmark result of 9,993 overall ssj_ops/watt for the PRIMERGY TX1310 M5. This is the quotient of the sum of the transaction throughputs for each load level and the sum of the average power consumed for each measurement interval.

The following table shows the benchmark results for the throughput in ssj_ops, the power consumption in watts and the resulting energy efficiency for each load level.

Performance	Power		Energy Efficiency
Target Load	ssj_ops	Average Power (W)	ssj_ops/watt
100%	659,394	58.9	11,204
90%	595,196	50.7	11,751
80%	529,513	43.7	12,124
70%	463,722	39.0	11,888
60%	393,761	34.0	11,584
50%	332,000	30.2	11,011
40%	264,032	26.8	9,861
30%	196,303	24.0	8,170
20%	131,513	21.6	6,090
10%	65,719	19.0	3,457
Active Idle	0	15.6	0
Σ ssj_ops / Σ power = 9,993			

The PRIMERGY TX1320 M5 in SUSE Linux Enterprise Server 15 SP3 achieved the following result:
SPECpower_ssj2008 = 10,550 overall ssj_ops/watt




The adjoining diagram shows the result of the configuration described above. The red horizontal bars show the performance to power ratio in ssj_ops/watt (upper x-axis) for each target load level tagged on the y-axis of the diagram. The blue line shows the run of the curve for the average power consumption (bottom x-axis) at each target load level marked with a small rhomb. The black vertical line shows the benchmark result of 10,550 overall ssj_ops/watt for the PRIMERGY TX1320 M5. This is the quotient of the sum of the transaction throughputs for each load level and the sum of the average power consumed for each measurement interval.

The following table shows the benchmark results for the throughput in ssj_ops, the power consumption in watts and the resulting energy efficiency for each load level.

Performance		Power		Energy Efficiency
Target Load	ssj_ops	Average Power (W)	ssj_ops/watt	
100%	767,959	62.5	12,290	
90%	689,775	53.5	12,894	
80%	613,561	47.6	12,903	
70%	537,611	42.6	12,631	
60%	462,676	38.4	12,036	
50%	386,312	34.1	11,340	
40%	309,872	30.2	10,261	
30%	229,528	27.5	8,343	
20%	153,923	24.9	6,180	
10%	75,010	21.7	3,451	
Active Idle	0	17.6	0	
Σ ssj_ops / Σ power = 10,550				

The PRIMERGY TX1330 M5 in SUSE Linux Enterprise Server 15 SP3 achieved the following result:
SPECpower_ssj2008 = 10,857 overall ssj_ops/watt



The adjoining diagram shows the result of the configuration described above. The red horizontal bars show the performance to power ratio in ssj_ops/watt (upper x-axis) for each target load level tagged on the y-axis of the diagram. The blue line shows the run of the curve for the average power consumption (bottom x-axis) at each target load level marked with a small rhomb. The black vertical line shows the benchmark result of 10,857 overall ssj_ops/watt for the PRIMERGY TX1330 M5. This is the quotient of the sum of the transaction throughputs for each load level and the sum of the average power consumed for each measurement interval.


The following table shows the benchmark results for the throughput in ssj_ops, the power consumption in watts and the resulting energy efficiency for each load level.

Performance		Power		Energy Efficiency
Target Load	ssj_ops	Average Power (W)	ssj_ops/watt	
100%	829,272	73.6	11,272	
90%	749,606	59.1	12,686	
80%	663,603	49.7	13,356	
70%	582,017	43.8	13,285	
60%	498,986	39.0	12,787	
50%	416,552	34.7	12,014	
40%	334,849	30.5	10,984	
30%	251,087	27.2	9,215	
20%	167,056	24.4	6,836	
10%	83,722	21.6	3,884	
Active Idle	0	18.0	0	
			Σ ssj_ops / Σ power = 10,857	

Benchmark results (Windows)

The PRIMERGY TX1310 M5 in Microsoft Windows Server 2019 Standard achieved the following result:

SPECpower_ssj2008 = 8,241 overall ssj_ops/watt




The adjoining diagram shows the result of the configuration described above. The red horizontal bars show the performance to power ratio in ssj_ops/watt (upper x-axis) for each target load level tagged on the y-axis of the diagram. The blue line shows the run of the curve for the average power consumption (bottom x-axis) at each target load level marked with a small rhomb. The black vertical line shows the benchmark result of 8,241 overall ssj_ops/watt for the PRIMERGY TX1310 M5. This is the quotient of the sum of the transaction throughputs for each load level and the sum of the average power consumed for each measurement interval.

The following table shows the benchmark results for the throughput in ssj_ops, the power consumption in watts and the resulting energy efficiency for each load level.

Performance		Power		Energy Efficiency
Target Load	ssj_ops	Average Power (W)	ssj_ops/watt	
100%	668,541	61.9	10,802	
90%	602,490	57.5	10,485	
80%	535,035	53.5	9,998	
70%	469,444	49.3	9,521	
60%	403,864	45.2	8,927	
50%	335,373	41.2	8,139	
40%	268,132	37.0	7,252	
30%	200,214	32.4	6,186	
20%	134,634	27.7	4,862	
10%	67,717	23.0	2,943	
Active Idle	0	18.6	0	
Σ ssj_ops / Σ power = 8,241				

The PRIMERGY TX1320 M5 in Microsoft Windows Server 2019 Standard achieved the following result:
SPECpower_ssj2008 = 8,212 overall ssj_ops/watt




The adjoining diagram shows the result of the configuration described above. The red horizontal bars show the performance to power ratio in ssj_ops/watt (upper x-axis) for each target load level tagged on the y-axis of the diagram. The blue line shows the run of the curve for the average power consumption (bottom x-axis) at each target load level marked with a small rhomb. The black vertical line shows the benchmark result of 8,212 overall ssj_ops/watt for the PRIMERGY TX1320 M5. This is the quotient of the sum of the transaction throughputs for each load level and the sum of the average power consumed for each measurement interval.

The following table shows the benchmark results for the throughput in ssj_ops, the power consumption in watts and the resulting energy efficiency for each load level.

Performance		Power		Energy Efficiency
Target Load	ssj_ops	Average Power (W)	ssj_ops/watt	
100%	823,469	80.4	10,247	
90%	742,859	73.7	10,082	
80%	658,398	67.4	9,771	
70%	574,969	61.3	9,377	
60%	493,150	55.4	8,897	
50%	411,162	49.8	8,256	
40%	328,474	44.2	7,426	
30%	247,149	38.5	6,421	
20%	164,036	32.5	5,054	
10%	81,790	26.8	3,056	
Active Idle	0	21.2	0	
Σ ssj_ops / Σ power = 8,212				

The PRIMERGY TX1330 M5 in Microsoft Windows Server 2019 Standard achieved the following result:
SPECpower_ssj2008 = 8,643 overall ssj_ops/watt




The adjoining diagram shows the result of the configuration described above. The red horizontal bars show the performance to power ratio in ssj_ops/watt (upper x-axis) for each target load level tagged on the y-axis of the diagram. The blue line shows the run of the curve for the average power consumption (bottom x-axis) at each target load level marked with a small rhomb. The black vertical line shows the benchmark result of 8,643 overall ssj_ops/watt for the PRIMERGY TX1330 M5. This is the quotient of the sum of the transaction throughputs for each load level and the sum of the average power consumed for each measurement interval.

The following table shows the benchmark results for the throughput in ssj_ops, the power consumption in watts and the resulting energy efficiency for each load level.

Performance		Power		Energy Efficiency
Target Load	ssj_ops	Average Power (W)		ssj_ops/watt
100%	825,045	75.7		10,893
90%	744,903	69.3		10,748
80%	659,296	63.5		10,388
70%	575,653	58.0		9,933
60%	494,256	52.8		9,354
50%	413,930	47.9		8,644
40%	328,687	42.6		7,714
30%	246,775	37.1		6,649
20%	165,407	31.5		5,258
10%	82,635	25.9		3,187
Active Idle	0	20.6		0
Σ ssj_ops / Σ power = 8,643				


Comparison with the predecessor

The following diagram shows for each load level (on the x-axis) the throughput (on the left y-axis) and the power consumption (on the right y-axis) of the PRIMERGY TX1320 M5 compared to the predecessor PRIMERGY TX1320 M4 with the JVM versions that affect the SPECpower_ssj2008 benchmark.




The total average throughput of the PRIMERGY TX1320 M5 is 4,226,227 ssj_ops, an improvement of 15.9% over the 3,647,448 ssj_ops of the PRIMERGY TX1320 M4.

On the other hand, the total average power consumption of the PRIMERGY TX1320 M5 is 401 W, which is 15.9% higher than the 346 W of the PRIMERGY TX1320 M4. The reason for the increased power consumption is the increased power consumption when idle. It was 16.3W on the PRIMERGY TX1320 M4, but it increased 1.08 times to 17.6W on the PRIMERGY TX1320 M5.



The overall energy efficiency of the PRIMERGY TX1320 M5 has improved by 0.104% due to a 15.9% increase in power consumption but a 15.9% improvement in performance.


The following diagram shows for each load level (on the x-axis) the throughput (on the left y-axis) and the power consumption (on the right y-axis) of the PRIMERGY TX1330 M5 compared to the predecessor PRIMERGY TX1330 M4 with the JVM versions that affect the SPECpower_ssj2008 benchmark.



The total average throughput of the PRIMERGY TX1330 M5 is 4,576,750 ssj_ops, an improvement of 27.2% over the 3,597,752 ssj_ops of the PRIMERGY TX1330 M4.

On the other hand, the total average power consumption of the PRIMERGY TX1330 M5 is 422 W, which is 26.3% higher than the 334 W of the PRIMERGY TX1330 M4. The reason for the increased power consumption is the increased power consumption when idle. It was 15.9W on the PRIMERGY TX1330 M4, but it increased 1.13 times to 18.0W on the PRIMERGY TX1330 M5.

The overall energy efficiency of the PRIMERGY TX1330 M5 has improved by 0.845% due to a 26.3% increase in power consumption but a 27.2% improvement in performance.



Measurement results of SPECpower_ssj2008 (January 19, 2022)

10,857 SPECpower_ssj2008



On January 19, 2022, PRIMERGY TX1330 M5 with an Intel Xeon E-2388G processor achieved a performance value of 10,857 on the SUSE Linux Enterprise Server 15 SP3 in the SPECpower_ssj2008 benchmark, in the Linux division of Intel Xeon E-2300 processor family category and won first place in SPECpower_ssj2008 performance.

For the latest results of the SPECpower_ssj2008, see https://www.spec.org/power_ssj2008/results/.

Disk I/O: Performance of storage media

Benchmark description

Performance measurements of disk subsystems for PRIMERGY servers are carried out with a defined measurement method, which models the accesses of real application scenarios on the basis of specifications.

The essential specifications are as follows.

- Random access / sequential access ratio
- Read / write access ratio
- Block size (kiB)
- Queue Depth (number of IO requests to issue at one time)

A given value combination of these specifications is known as "load profile." The following five standard load profiles can be allocated to typical application scenarios.

Standard load profile	Access	Type of access		Block size [kiB]	Application
		read	write		
Filecopy	Random	50%	50%	64	Copying files
Fileserver	Random	67%	33%	64	Fileserver
Database	Random	67%	33%	8	Database (data transfer) Mail server
Streaming	Sequential	100%	0%	64	Database (log file), Data backup, Video streaming (partial)
Restore	Sequential	0%	100%	64	Restoring files

In order to model applications that access in parallel with a different load intensity the Queue Depth is increased from 1 to 512 (in steps to the power of two).

The measurements of this document are based on these standard load profiles.

The main measurement items are as follows.

- Throughput [MiB/s] Throughput in megabytes per second
- Transactions [IO/s] Transaction rate in I/O operations per second
- Latency [ms] Average response time in ms

The data throughput has established itself as the normal measurement variable for sequential load profiles, whereas the measurement variable "transaction rate" is mostly used for random load profiles with their small block sizes. Data throughput and transaction rate are directly proportional to each other and can be transferred to each other according to the following formula.

Data throughput [MiB/s]	= Transaction rate [IO/s] x Block size [MiB]
Transaction rate [IO/s]	= Data throughput [MiB/s] / Block size [MiB]

In this section, a power of 10 (1 TB = 10¹² bytes) is used to indicate the capacity of the hard storage medium, and a power of 2 (1 MiB / s = 2²⁰ bytes) is used to indicate the capacity of other media, file size, block size, and throughput.

All the details of the measurement method and the basics of disk I/O performance are described in the white paper "Basics of Disk I/O Performance."

Benchmark environment

All the measurement results discussed in this section apply for the hardware and software components listed below.

System Under Test (SUT)

Hardware

TX1320 M5 / TX1330 M5 3.5 inch model

Controller: PRAID EP540i

Storage media	Category	Drive name
HDD	SAS HDD (SAS 12Gbps, 15k rpm) [512n]	ST300MP0006 ST600MP0006
	NL-SAS HDD (SAS 12Gbps, 7.2k rpm) [512e]	ST6000NM029A ST8000NM001A
	NL-SAS HDD (SAS 12Gbps, 7.2k rpm) [512n]	ST2000NM003A ST4000NM003A
	BC-SATA HDD (SATA 6Gbps, 7.2k rpm) [512e]	ST6000NM021A ST8000NM000A
	BC-SATA HDD (SATA 6Gbps, 7.2k rpm) [512n]	ST2000NM000A ST4000NM000A
SSD	SATA SSD (SATA 6Gbps, Mixed Use)	MTFDDAK240TDT
		MTFDDAK480TDT
		MTFDDAK960TDT
		MTFDDAK1T9TDT
		MTFDDAK3T8TDT
	SATA SSD (SATA 6Gbps, Read Intensive)	MTFDDAK240TDS
		MTFDDAK480TDS
		MTFDDAK960TDS
		MTFDDAK1T9TDS
		MTFDDAK3T8TDS MTFDDAK7T6TDS

Controller: Intel C256 Standard SATA AHCI controller

Storage media	Category	Drive name
SSD	M.2 Flash module	MTFDDAV240TDS
		MTFDDAV480TDS

TX1320 M5 / TX1330 M5 2.5 inch model

Controller: PRAID EP540i					
Storage media	Category	Drive name			
HDD	SAS HDD (SAS 12Gbps, 15k rpm) [512n]	ST300MP0006 ST600MP0006			
	SAS HDD (SAS 12Gbps, 10k rpm) [512e]	AL15SEB18EQ AL15SEB24EQ			
	SAS HDD (SAS 12Gbps, 10k rpm) [512n]	AL15SEB060N AL15SEB120N			
	BC-SATA HDD (SATA 6Gbps, 7.2k rpm) [512e]	ST1000NX0313			
	BC-SATA HDD (SATA 6Gbps, 7.2k rpm) [512n]	ST1000NX0423			
	SSD	SAS SSD (SAS 12Gbps, Write Intensive)	XS400ME70084 XS800ME70084 XS1600ME70084		
SAS SSD (SAS 12Gbps, Mixed Use)			XS800LE70084 XS1600LE70084 XS3200LE70084		
			SAS SSD (SAS 12Gbps, Read Intensive)	XS960SE70084 XS1920SE70084 XS3840SE70084 XS7680SE70084	
		SATA SSD (SATA 6Gbps, Mixed Use)		MTFDDAK240TDT MTFDDAK480TDT MTFDDAK960TDT MTFDDAK1T9TDT MTFDDAK3T8TDT	
SATA SSD (SATA 6Gbps, Read Intensive)				MTFDDAK240TDS MTFDDAK480TDS MTFDDAK960TDS MTFDDAK1T9TDS MTFDDAK3T8TDS MTFDDAK7T6TDS	
			PCIe SSD (Mixed Use)	SSDPE2KE016T8 SSDPE2KE032T8 SSDPE2KE064T8	
				PCIe SSD (Read intensive)	SSDPE2KX010T8 SSDPE2KX020T8 SSDPE2KX040T8

Controller: Intel C256 Standard SATA AHCI controller		
Storage media	Category	Drive name
SSD	M.2 Flash module	MTFDDAV240TDS MTFDDAV480TDS

TX1310 M5

Controller: Intel C256 Standard SATA AHCI controller		
Storage media	Category	Drive name
HDD	SATA HDD (SATA 6Gbps, 15k rpm) [512n]	ST1000DM010
SSD	SATA SSD (SATA 6Gbps, Read Intensive)	MTFDDAK240TDS
		MTFDDAK480TDS
	M.2 Flash module	MTFDDAV240TDS MTFDDAV480TDS

Software

Operating system	Microsoft Windows Server 2016 Standard	
Benchmark version	3.0	
RAID type	Type RAID 0 logical drive consisting of 1 hard disk	
Stripe size	HDD: 256KiB, SSD: 64 KiB	
Measuring tool	Iometer 1.1.0	
Measurement area	HDD, SSD (other than M.2, E1.S)	RAW file system is used. The first 32GiB of available LBA space is used for sequential access. The following 64GiB is used for random access.
	SSD (M.2, E1.S)	NTFS file system is used. The first 32GiB of available LBA space is used for sequential access. The following 64GiB is used for random access.
Total number of Iometer worker	1	
Alignment of Iometer accesses	Aligned to access block size	

Some components may not be available in all countries or sales regions.

Benchmark results

The results shown here are intended to help you select the appropriate storage media under the aspect of disk-I/O performance. For this purpose, a single storage medium was measured in the configuration specified in the subsection "[Benchmark environment](#)."

Controller

The measurements were made using controllers in the table below.

Storage media	Controller name	Cache	Supported interfaces		RAID levels
			host	drive	
SSD/HDD PCIe SSD 2.5"	PRAID EP540i	-	8x PCIe 3.0	SATA 6G SAS 12G 16x PCIe	0, 1, 1E, 10, 5, 50
M.2 Flash	C620 Standard SATA AHCI controller	-	4x DMI 3.0	SATA 6G	-

Storage media

When selecting the type and number of storage media you can move the weighting in the direction of storage capacity, performance, security or price. The following types of HDD and SSD storage media can be used for PRIMERGY servers.

model	Storage media type	Interface	Form factor
3.5 inch model	HDD	SAS 12G	3.5 inch or 2.5 inch ¹⁾
		SATA 6G	3.5 inch
	SSD	SATA 6G	2.5 inch ¹⁾ or M.2
2.5 inch model	HDD	SAS 12G	2.5 inch
		SATA 6G	2.5 inch
	SSD	SAS 12G	2.5 inch
		SATA 6G	2.5 inch or M.2
		PCIe 3.0	2.5 inch

1) It is available with a 3.5 inch cage.

HDDs and SSDs are operated via host bus adapters, usually RAID controllers, with a SATA or SAS interface. The interface of the RAID controller to the chipset of the system board is typically PCIe or, in the case of the integrated onboard controllers, an internal bus interface of the system board.

Of all the storage medium types SSDs offer by far the highest transaction rates for random load profiles as well as the shortest access times. In return, however, the price per gigabyte of storage capacity is substantially higher.

Cache settings

In most cases, the cache of HDDs has a great influence on disk I/O performance. It is frequently regarded as a security problem in case of power failure and is thus switched off. On the other hand, it was integrated by hard disk manufacturers for the good reason of increasing the write performance. For performance reasons it is therefore advisable to enable the hard disk cache. To prevent data loss in case of power failure you are recommended to equip the system with a UPS.

For the purpose of easy and reliable handling of the settings for RAID controllers and hard disks it is advisable to use the RAID-Manager software "ServerView RAID" that is supplied for PRIMERGY servers. All the cache settings for controllers and hard disks can usually be made en bloc - specifically for the application - by using the pre-defined mode "Performance" or "Data Protection." The "Performance" mode ensures the best possible performance settings for the majority of the application scenarios.

Performance values

The performance values are summarized in the following tables. In each case specifically for a single storage medium and with various access types and block sizes. The established measurement variables, as already mentioned in the subsection "[Benchmark description](#)" are used here. Thus, transaction rate is specified for random accesses and data throughput for sequential accesses.

The table cells contain the maximum achievable values. This means that each value is the maximum achievable value of the whole range of load intensities (number of Outstanding I/Os). In order to also visualize the numerical values each table cell is highlighted with a horizontal bar, the length of which is proportional to the numerical value in the table cell. All bars shown in the same scale of length have the same color. In other words, a visual comparison only makes sense for table cells with the same colored bars. Since the horizontal bars in the table cells depict the maximum achievable performance values, they are shown by the color getting lighter as you move from left to right. The light shade of color at the right end of the bar tells you that the value is a maximum value and can only be achieved under optimal prerequisites. The darker the shade becomes as you move to the left, the more frequently it will be possible to achieve the corresponding value in practice.

Storage media performance

TX1320 M5 / TX1330 M5 3.5 inch model

HDDs

Capacity [GB]	Storage device	Inter face	Transactions [IO/s]			Throughput [MiB/s]	
			Database	Fileserver	Filecopy	Streaming	Restore
300	ST300MP0006	SAS 12G	790	696	666	304	304
600	ST600MP0006	SAS 12G	736	651	601	301	300
6,000	ST6000NM029A	SAS 12G	369	333	323	252	252
8,000	ST8000NM001A	SAS 12G	354	310	310	255	255
2,000	ST2000NM003A	SAS 12G	378	343	336	237	237
4,000	ST4000NM003A	SAS 12G	369	333	330	214	215
6,000	ST6000NM021A	SATA 6G	326	293	302	253	253
8,000	ST8000NM000A	SATA 6G	325	290	301	249	248
2,000	ST2000NM000A	SATA 6G	331	304	313	230	207
4,000	ST4000NM000A	SATA 6G	313	290	297	211	210

SSDs

Capacity [GB]	Storage device	Inter face	Transactions [IO/s]			Throughput [MiB/s]	
			Database	Fileserver	Filecopy	Streaming	Restore
240	MTFDDAK240TDT	SATA 6G	46,406	5,989	6,121	508	370
480	MTFDDAK480TDT	SATA 6G	49,138	6,383	6,600	508	437
960	MTFDDAK960TDT	SATA 6G	50,488	6,970	7,136	508	486
1,920	MTFDDAK1T9TDT	SATA 6G	50,669	7,183	7,336	508	487
3,840	MTFDDAK3T8TDT	SATA 6G	49,490	7,115	7,208	493	474
240	MTFDDAK240TDS	SATA 6G	42,594	5,435	5,510	508	301
480	MTFDDAK480TDS	SATA 6G	47,577	6,109	6,310	508	401
960	MTFDDAK960TDS	SATA 6G	50,134	6,633	6,852	506	480
1,920	MTFDDAK1T9TDS	SATA 6G	50,638	7,078	7,286	508	488
3,840	MTFDDAK3T8TDS	SATA 6G	49,542	7,097	7,196	495	477
7,680	MTFDDAK7T6TDS	SATA 6G	47,200	7,134	7,563	508	487
240	MTFDDAV240TDS	SATA 6G	32,138	5,494	5,506	506	298
480	MTFDDAV480TDS	SATA 6G	39,970	6,373	6,541	508	395

TX1320 M5 / TX1330 M5 2.5 inch model

HDDs

Capacity [GB]	Storage device	Inter face	Transactions [IO/s]			Throughput [MiB/s]	
			Database	Fileserver	Filecopy	Streaming	Restore
300	ST300MP0006	SAS 12G	790	696	666	304	304
600	ST600MP0006	SAS 12G	736	651	601	301	300
1,800	AL15SEB18EQ	SAS 12G	767	631	624	255	249
2,400	AL15SEB24EQ	SAS 12G	754	620	617	264	260
600	AL15SEB060N	SAS 12G	698	586	600	232	232
1,200	AL15SEB120N	SAS 12G	732	604	615	230	226
1,000	ST1000NX0313	SATA 6G	393	340	337	134	134
1,000	ST1000NX0423	SATA 6G	399	344	346	134	134

SSDs

Capacity [GB]	Storage device	Inter face	Transactions [IO/s]			Throughput [MiB/s]	
			Database	Fileserver	Filecopy	Streaming	Restore
400	XS400ME70084	SAS 12G	122,956	22,969	19,438	1,052	872
800	XS800ME70084	SAS 12G	123,848	23,784	19,435	1,052	874
1,600	XS1600ME70084	SAS 12G	123,277	23,725	19,270	1,051	884
800	XS800LE70084	SAS 12G	121,914	23,707	19,257	1,052	871
1,600	XS1600LE70084	SAS 12G	122,949	23,771	19,455	1,052	874
3,200	XS3200LE70084	SAS 12G	123,090	22,816	19,418	1,051	872
960	XS960SE70084	SAS 12G	123,014	23,678	19,424	1,052	870
1,920	XS1920SE70084	SAS 12G	123,093	23,760	19,423	1,052	874
3,840	XS3840SE70084	SAS 12G	122,810	22,949	19,406	1,051	871
7,680	XS7680SE70084	SAS 12G	123,461	22,899	19,516	1,051	880
240	MTFDDAK240TDT	SATA 6G	46,406	5,989	6,121	508	370
480	MTFDDAK480TDT	SATA 6G	49,138	6,383	6,600	508	437
960	MTFDDAK960TDT	SATA 6G	50,488	6,970	7,136	508	486
1,920	MTFDDAK1T9TDT	SATA 6G	50,669	7,183	7,336	508	487
3,840	MTFDDAK3T8TDT	SATA 6G	49,490	7,115	7,208	493	474
240	MTFDDAK240TDS	SATA 6G	42,594	5,435	5,510	508	301
480	MTFDDAK480TDS	SATA 6G	47,577	6,109	6,310	508	401
960	MTFDDAK960TDS	SATA 6G	50,134	6,633	6,852	506	480
1,920	MTFDDAK1T9TDS	SATA 6G	50,638	7,078	7,286	508	488
3,840	MTFDDAK3T8TDS	SATA 6G	49,542	7,097	7,196	495	477
7,680	MTFDDAK7T6TDS	SATA 6G	47,200	7,134	7,563	508	487
1,600	SSDPE2KE016T8	PCIe3 x4	276,785	45,739	40,923	3,214	1,972
3,200	SSDPE2KE032T8	PCIe3 x4	306,446	53,059	50,093	3,220	2,461
6,400	SSDPE2KE064T8	PCIe3 x4	297,505	56,338	56,632	3,219	2,499
1,000	SSDPE2KX01	PCIe3 x4	153,263	25,891	21,942	2,799	1,109
2,000	SSDPE2KX02	PCIe3 x4	237,530	38,336	34,740	3,181	1,979
4,000	SSDPE2KX04	PCIe3 x4	242,546	39,242	38,151	2,905	2,417
240	MTFDDAV240TDS	SATA 6G	32,138	5,494	5,506	506	298
480	MTFDDAV480TDS	SATA 6G	39,970	6,373	6,541	508	395

TX1310 M5

Capacity [GB]	Storage device	Inter face	Transactions [IO/s]			Throughput [MiB/s]	
			Database	Fileserver	Filecopy	Streaming	Restore
1,000	ST1000DM010	SATA 6G	266	245	233	206	201
240	MTFDDAK240TDS	SATA 6G	33,340	5,566	5,583	508	302
480	MTFDDAK480TDS	SATA 6G	39,533	6,388	6,497	501	396
240	MTFDDAV240TDS	SATA 6G	32,138	5,494	5,506	506	298
480	MTFDDAV480TDS	SATA 6G	39,970	6,373	6,541	508	395


Literature

PRIMERGY Servers

<https://www.fujitsu.com/global/products/computing/servers/primergy/>

PRIMERGY TX1310 M5 / TX1320 M5 / TX1330 M5

This Whitepaper

 <https://docs.ts.fujitsu.com/dl.aspx?id=017bb22e-e20f-4489-b71b-e2853b7b42ff>

 <https://docs.ts.fujitsu.com/dl.aspx?id=e78670ee-6f24-4f6d-b919-01dfb549a4b2>

Data sheet

TX1310 M5: <https://docs.ts.fujitsu.com/dl.aspx?id=24573bf5-d4e9-4807-88b7-c3e5b4e907b1>

TX1320 M5: <https://docs.ts.fujitsu.com/dl.aspx?id=92ac6a1d-bd95-4f3e-bacf-a666a79f7bf9>

TX1330 M5: <https://docs.ts.fujitsu.com/dl.aspx?id=0431f1e2-7caf-4f4d-9bcf-7b90b0a8374f>

PRIMERGY Performance

<https://www.fujitsu.com/global/products/computing/servers/primergy/benchmarks/>

SPEC CPU2017

<https://www.spec.org/osg/cpu2017>

Benchmark Overview SPECcpu2017

<https://docs.ts.fujitsu.com/dl.aspx?id=20f1f4e2-5b3c-454a-947f-c169fca51eb1>

STREAM

<https://www.cs.virginia.edu/stream/>

SPECpower_ssj2008

https://www.spec.org/power_ssj2008

Benchmark Overview SPECpower_ssj2008

<https://docs.ts.fujitsu.com/dl.aspx?id=166f8497-4bf0-4190-91a1-884b90850ee0>

Document change history

Version	Date	Description
1.3	2023-10-03	Update: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• New Visual Identity format
1.2	2022-07-05	Update: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Minor corrections
1.1	2022-05-23	Update: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Minor corrections
1.0	2022-02-18	New: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Technical data• SPECcpu2017, STREAM Measured and calculated with Intel Xeon E-2300 processor family / Intel Pentium Gold G6405• SPECpower_ssj2008 Measured with Intel Xeon E-2356G / Intel Xeon E-2388G• Disk I/O Measured with 2.5" and 3.5" storage media

Contact

Fujitsu

Web site: <https://www.fujitsu.com>

PRIMERGY Performance and Benchmarks

mailto: fj-benchmark@dl.jp.fujitsu.com

© Fujitsu 2023. All rights reserved. Fujitsu and Fujitsu logo are trademarks of Fujitsu Limited registered in many jurisdictions worldwide. Other product, service and company names mentioned herein may be trademarks of Fujitsu or other companies. This document is current as of the initial date of publication and subject to be changed by Fujitsu without notice. This material is provided for information purposes only and Fujitsu assumes no liability related to its use.