

Fujitsu Server PRIMERGY

Performance Report

PRIMERGY RX2530 M5



This document provides an overview of benchmarks executed on the Fujitsu Server PRIMERGY RX2530 M5.

Explains PRIMERGY RX2530 M5 performance data in comparison to other PRIMERGY models. In addition to the benchmark results, the explanation for each benchmark and benchmark environment are also included.

Version

1.5

2023-10-03



Contents

Technical data	3
Suffix of Processor number shows additional feature of Xeon Processor.....	7
SPEC CPU2017	9
Benchmark description	9
Benchmark environment	10
Benchmark results.....	12
STREAM	15
Benchmark description	15
Benchmark environment	16
Benchmark results.....	17
LINPACK	21
Benchmark description	21
Benchmark environment	22
Benchmark results.....	23
SPECpower_ssj2008	25
Benchmark description	25
Benchmark environment	26
Benchmark results.....	28
SPECjbb2015	29
Benchmark description	29
Benchmark environment	31
Benchmark results.....	32
Disk I/O: Performance of storage media	33
Benchmark description	33
Benchmark environment	34
Benchmark results.....	38
3.5 inch model storage media	40
2.5 inch model storage media	41
OLTP-2	42
Benchmark description	42
Benchmark environment	42
Benchmark results.....	44
vServCon	49
Benchmark description	49
Benchmark environment	50
Benchmark results.....	52
Literature	58

Technical data

PRIMERGY RX2530 M5 3.5'



PRIMERGY RX2530 M5 2.5'



Decimal prefixes according to the SI standard are used for measurement units in this white paper (e.g. 1 GB = 10^9 bytes). In contrast, these prefixes should be interpreted as binary prefixes (e.g. 1 GB = 2^{30} bytes) for the capacities of caches and memory modules. Separate reference will be made to any further exceptions where applicable.

Model	PRIMERGY RX2530 M5
Model versions	PY RX2530 M5 V101 4x 3.5' PY RX2530 M5 V301 4x 2.5' PY RX2530 M5 V401 8x 2.5' PY RX2530 M5 V501 10x 2.5' Combo PY RX2530 M5 V601 10x SATA/PCIe
Form factor	Rack server
Chipset	Intel C624
Number of sockets	2
Number of processors orderable	1 or 2
Processor type	2nd Generation Intel Xeon Scalable Processors Family
Number of memory slots	24 (12 per processor)
Maximum memory configuration	3,072 GB
Onboard HDD controller	Controller with RAID 0, RAID 1 or RAID 10 for up to 8 SATA HDDs
PCI slots	1 × PCI-Express 3.0 x8 3 × PCI-Express 3.0 x16
Max. number of internal hard disks	PY RX2530 M5 V101 4x 3.5' : 4 PY RX2530 M5 V301 4x 2.5' : 8 PY RX2530 M5 V401 8x 2.5' : 8 PY RX2530 M5 V501 10x 2.5' Combo : 10 PY RX2530 M5 V601 10x SATA/PCIe : 10

Processors (since system release)								
Processor	Cores	Threads	Cache	UPI Speed	Rated Frequency	Max. Turbo Frequency	Max. Memory Frequency	TDP
			[MB]	[GT/s]	[Ghz]	[Ghz]	[MHz]	[Watt]
April 2019 released								
Xeon Platinum 8280L	28	56	38.5	10.4	2.7	4.0	2,933	205
Xeon Platinum 8280M	28	56	38.5	10.4	2.7	4.0	2,933	205
Xeon Platinum 8280	28	56	38.5	10.4	2.7	4.0	2,933	205
Xeon Platinum 8276L	28	56	38.5	10.4	2.2	4.0	2,933	165
Xeon Platinum 8276M	28	56	38.5	10.4	2.2	4.0	2,933	165
Xeon Platinum 8276	28	56	38.5	10.4	2.2	4.0	2,933	165
Xeon Platinum 8270	26	52	35.8	10.4	2.7	4.0	2,933	205
Xeon Platinum 8268	24	48	35.8	10.4	2.9	3.9	2,933	205
Xeon Platinum 8260L	24	48	35.8	10.4	2.4	3.9	2,933	165
Xeon Platinum 8260M	24	48	35.8	10.4	2.4	3.9	2,933	165
Xeon Platinum 8260Y	24	48	35.8	10.4	2.4	3.9	2,933	165
	20	40						
	16	32						
Xeon Platinum 8260	24	48	35.8	10.4	2.4	3.9	2,933	165
Xeon Gold 6262V	24	48	33.0	10.4	1.9	3.6	2,933	130
Xeon Gold 6254	18	36	24.8	10.4	3.1	4.0	2,933	200
Xeon Gold 6252	24	48	35.8	10.4	2.1	3.7	2,933	150
Xeon Gold 6248	20	40	27.5	10.4	2.5	3.9	2,933	150
Xeon Gold 6246	12	24	24.8	10.4	3.3	4.2	2,933	165
Xeon Gold 6244	8	16	24.8	10.4	3.6	4.4	2,933	150
Xeon Gold 6242	16	32	22.0	10.4	2.8	3.9	2,933	150
Xeon Gold 6240L	18	36	24.8	10.4	2.6	3.9	2,933	150
Xeon Gold 6240M	18	36	24.8	10.4	2.6	3.9	2,933	150
Xeon Gold 6240Y	18	36	24.8	10.4	2.6	3.9	2,933	150
	14	28						
	8	16						
Xeon Gold 6240	18	36	24.8	10.4	2.6	3.9	2,933	150
Xeon Gold 6238M	22	44	30.3	10.4	2.1	3.7	2,933	140
Xeon Gold 6238L	22	44	30.3	10.4	2.1	3.7	2,933	140
Xeon Gold 6238	22	44	30.3	10.4	2.1	3.7	2,933	140
Xeon Gold 6234	8	16	24.8	10.4	3.3	4.0	2,933	130
Xeon Gold 6230	20	40	27.5	10.4	2.1	3.9	2,933	125
Xeon Gold 6226	12	24	19.3	10.4	2.7	3.7	2,933	125
Xeon Gold 6222V	20	40	27.5	10.4	1.8	3.6	2,400	115
Xeon Gold 6212U	24	48	33.0	10.4	2.4	3.9	2,933	165
Xeon Gold 6210U	20	40	27.5	10.4	2.5	3.9	2,933	150
Xeon Gold 6209U	20	40	27.5	10.4	2.1	3.9	2,933	125
Xeon Gold 5222	4	8	16.5	10.4	3.8	3.9	2,933	105
Xeon Gold 5220S	18	36	24.8	10.4	2.7	3.9	2,666	125

Xeon Gold 5220	18	36	24.8	10.4	2.2	3.9	2,666	125
Xeon Gold 5218B	16	32	22.0	10.4	2.3	3.9	2,666	125
Xeon Gold 5218	16	32	22.0	10.4	2.3	3.9	2,666	125
Xeon Gold 5217	8	16	11.0	10.4	3.0	3.7	2,666	115
Xeon Gold 5215L	10	20	13.8	10.4	2.5	3.4	2,666	85
Xeon Gold 5215M	10	20	13.8	10.4	2.5	3.4	2,666	85
Xeon Gold 5215	10	20	13.8	10.4	2.5	3.4	2,666	85
Xeon Silver 4216	16	32	22.0	9.6	2.1	3.2	2,400	100
Xeon Silver 4215	8	16	11.0	9.6	2.5	3.5	2,400	85
Xeon Silver 4214Y	12	24	16.5	9.6	2.2	3.2	2,400	85
	10	20						
	8	16						
Xeon Silver 4214	12	24	16.5	9.6	2.2	3.2	2,400	85
Xeon Silver 4210	10	20	13.8	9.6	2.2	3.2	2,400	85
Xeon Silver 4208	8	16	11.0	9.6	2.1	3.2	2,400	85
Xeon Bronze 3204	6	6	8.3	9.6	1.9		2,133	85

March 2020 released

Xeon Gold 6258R	28	56	38.5	10.4	2.7	4.0	2,933	205
Xeon Gold 6256	12	24	33.0	10.4	3.6	4.5	2,933	205
Xeon Gold 6250	8	16	35.8	10.4	3.9	4.5	2,933	185
Xeon Gold 6248R	24	48	35.8	10.4	3.0	4.0	2,933	205
Xeon Gold 6246R	16	32	35.8	10.4	3.4	4.1	2,933	205
Xeon Gold 6242R	20	40	35.8	10.4	3.1	4.1	2,933	205
Xeon Gold 6240R	24	48	35.8	10.4	2.4	4.0	2,933	165
Xeon Gold 6238R	28	56	38.5	10.4	2.2	4.0	2,933	165
Xeon Gold 6230R	26	52	35.8	10.4	2.1	4.0	2,933	150
Xeon Gold 6226R	16	32	22.0	10.4	2.9	3.9	2,933	150
Xeon Gold 6208U	16	32	22.0	10.4	2.9	3.9	2,933	150
Xeon Gold 5220R	24	48	35.8	10.4	2.2	4.0	2,666	150
Xeon Gold 5218R	20	40	27.5	10.4	2.1	4.0	2,666	125
Xeon Silver 4215R	8	16	11.0	9.6	3.2	4.0	2,400	130
Xeon Silver 4214R	12	24	16.5	9.6	2.4	3.5	2,400	100
Xeon Silver 4210R	10	20	13.8	9.6	2.4	3.2	2,400	100
Xeon Bronze 3206R	8	8	11.0	9.6	1.9		2,133	85

All processors that can be ordered with PRIMERGY RX2530 M5 support Intel Turbo Boost Technology 2.0.

This technology allows you to operate the processor with higher frequencies than the rated frequency. The "maximum turbo frequency" listed in the processor list above is the theoretical maximum frequency when there is only one active core per processor. The maximum frequency that can actually be achieved depends on the number of active cores, current consumption, power consumption, and processor temperature.

As a general rule, Intel does not guarantee that maximum turbo frequencies will be achieved. This is related to manufacturing tolerances, and the performance of each individual processor model varies from each other.

The range of difference covers the range including all of the rated frequency and the maximum turbo frequency.

The turbo function can be set in the BIOS option. Generally, Fujitsu always recommends leaving the [Turbo Mode] option set at the standard setting [Enabled], as performance is substantially increased by the higher frequencies. However, the Turbo Mode frequency depends on the operating conditions mentioned above and is not always guaranteed. The turbo frequency fluctuates in applications where AVX instructions are used intensively and the number of instructions per clock is large. If you need stable performance or want to reduce power consumption, it may be beneficial to set the [Turbo Mode] option to [Disabled] to disable the turbo function.

Suffix of Processor number shows additional feature of Xeon Processor.

The processors with M/L suffix support larger memory capacity of 2TB/socket(M-suffix) or 4.5TB/socket(L-suffix) whereas normal processors support 1TB/socket memory capacity.

The processors with S suffix are specifically designed to offer consistent performance for search workloads.

The processors with U suffix are only capable of single socket but the prices are lower than comparable normal processors with the same core count and frequency.

The processors with V suffix are specifically designed to help maximize \$/VM

The processors with Y suffix support Intel Speed Select Technology. It enables to provide 3 distinct configurations(number of active cores and frequencies) which customer can choose in BIOS option.

Specifications of Xeon Gold 5218B and Xeon Gold 5218 including core count and frequencies are the same. The difference is minor electrical specifications only.

The processor with the suffix means it is optimized for the following feature.

Suffix	Additional feature
M	Support up to 2TB/socket memory
L	Support up to 4.5TB/socket memory
S	Search Optimized
U	Single Socket
V	VM Density Optimized
Y	Speed Select

Memory modules (since system release)								
Memory module	Capacity [GB]	Ranks	Bit width of the memor y chips	Frequ ency [MHz]	Load Redu ced	Registere d	NVDIMM	ECC
8 GB (1x8 GB) 1Rx8 DDR4-2933 R ECC	8	1	8	2,933		✓		✓
16 GB (1x16 GB) 2Rx8 DDR4-2933 R ECC	16	2	8	2,933		✓		✓
16 GB (1x16 GB) 1Rx4 DDR4-2933 R ECC	16	1	4	2,933		✓		✓
32 GB (1x32 GB) 2Rx4 DDR4-2933 R ECC	32	2	4	2,933		✓		✓
64 GB (1x64 GB) 2Rx4 DDR4-2933 R ECC	64	2	4	2,933		✓		✓
64 GB (1x64 GB) 4Rx4 DDR4-2933 LR ECC	64	4	4	2,933	✓	✓		✓
128 GB (1x128 GB) 4Rx4 DDR4-2933 LR ECC	128	4	4	2,933	✓	✓		✓
128GB (1x128GB) DCPMM-2666	128			2,666			✓	✓
256GB (1x256GB) DCPMM-2666	256			2,666			✓	✓
512GB (1x512GB) DCPMM-2666	512			2,666			✓	✓

Power supplies		Maximum number
Modular redundant PSU	450W platinum PSU	2
	800W platinum PSU	2
	800W titanium PSU	2
	1,200W platinum PSU	2

Includes components that will be supported after the system release. Also, some components may not be available in all countries or sales regions.

Detailed technical information is available in the data sheet of PRIMERGY RX2530 M5.

SPEC CPU2017

Benchmark description

SPEC CPU2017 is a benchmark which measures the system efficiency with integer and floating-point operations. It consists of an integer test suite (SPECrate 2017 Integer, SPECSpeed 2017 Integer) containing 10 applications and a floating-point test suite (SPECrate 2017 Floating Point, SPECSpeed 2017 Floating Point) containing 14 applications. Both test suites are extremely computing-intensive and concentrate on the CPU and the memory. Other components, such as Disk I/O and network, are not measured by this benchmark.

SPEC CPU2017 is not tied to a special operating system. The benchmark is available as source code and is compiled before the actual measurement. The used compiler version and their optimization settings also affect the measurement result.

SPEC CPU2017 contains two different performance measurement methods. The first method (SPECSpeed 2017 Integer or SPECSpeed 2017 Floating Point) determines the time which is required to process a single task. The second method (SPECrate 2017 Integer or SPECrate 2017 Floating Point) determines the throughput, i.e. the number of tasks that can be handled in parallel. Both methods are also divided into two measurement runs, "base" and "peak." They differ in the use of compiler optimization. When publishing the results, the base values are always used and the peak values are optional.

Benchmark	Number of single benchmarks	Arithmetics	Type	Compiler optimization	Measurement result
SPECSpeed2017_int_peak	10	integer	peak	aggressive	Speed
SPECSpeed2017_int_base	10	integer	base	conservative	
SPECrate2017_int_peak	10	integer	peak	aggressive	Throughput
SPECrate2017_int_base	10	integer	base	conservative	
SPECSpeed2017_fp_peak	10	floating point	peak	aggressive	Speed
SPECSpeed2017_fp_base	10	floating point	base	conservative	
SPECrate2017_fp_peak	13	floating point	peak	aggressive	Throughput
SPECrate2017_fp_base	13	floating point	base	conservative	

The measurement results are the geometric average from normalized ratio values which have been determined for individual benchmarks. The geometric average - in contrast to the arithmetic average - means that there is a weighting in favor of the lower individual results. "Normalized" means that the measurement is how fast is the test system compared to a reference system. For example, value "1" was defined for the SPECSpeed2017_int_base, SPECrate2017_int_base, SPECSpeed2017_fp_base, and SPECrate2017_fp_base results of the reference system. A SPECSpeed2017_int_base value of 2 means that the measuring system has handled this benchmark twice as fast as the reference system. A SPECrate2017_fp_base value of 4 means that the measuring system has handled this benchmark about 4/[# base copies] times faster than the reference system. "# base copies" specifies how many parallel instances of the benchmark have been executed.

Not every SPEC CPU2017 measurement is submitted by Fujitsu for publication at SPEC. This is why the SPEC web pages do not have every result. As Fujitsu archives the log files for all measurements, it is possible to prove the correct implementation of the measurements at any time.

Benchmark environment

System Under Test (SUT)

Hardware

• Model	PRIMERGY RX2530 M5
• Processor	2nd Generation Intel Xeon Scalable Processors Family
• Memory	24 × 32 GB (1x32 GB) 2Rx4 PC4-2933Y-R

Software

• BIOS settings	<p>SPECspeed2017_int_base:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Patrol Scrub = Disabled • Override OS Energy Performance = Enabled • Energy Performance = Performance • Fan Control = Full • Sub NUMA Clustering = Disabled • WR CRC feature Control = Disabled • Hyper-Threading = Disabled <p>SPECSpeed2017_fp_base:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Hyper-Threading = Disabled • Adjacent Cache Line Prefetch = Disabled • Override OS Energy Performance = Enabled • Energy Performance = Performance • Patrol Scrub = Disabled • Sub NUMA Clustering = Disabled • WR CRC feature Control = Disabled • Fan Control = Full • UPI Link L0p Enable = Disable • UPI Link L1 Enable = Disable • Max Page Table Size Select = 2M • IO Directory Cashe (IODC) = Disable <p>SPECrate2017_int_base:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Patrol Scrub = Disabled • DCU Ip Prefetcher = Disabled*1 • DCU Streamer Prefetcher = Disabled*1 • Fan Control = Full • Stale AtoS = Enable • WR CRC feature Control = Disabled • Sub NUMA Clustering = Disabled*2 • Hyper-Threading = Disabled*3 <p>SPECrate2017_fp_base:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Patrol Scrub = Disabled • WR CRC feature Control = Disabled • Fan Control = Full • Sub NUMA Clustering = Disabled *2 • Hyper-Threading = Disabled*3 <p>*1: Except Xeon Bronze 3206R, Xeon Silver 4210R, Xeon Silver 4214R, Xeon Silver 4215R, Xeon Gold 6226R, Xeon Gold 6246R, Xeon Gold 6250, Xeon Gold 6256, Xeon Gold 6208U</p>
-----------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

	<p>*2: Xeon Gold 5217, Xeon Gold 5215, Xeon Silver 4215, Xeon Silver 4210, Xeon Silver 4208, Xeon Bronze 3204, Xeon Bronze 3206R, Xeon Silver 4210R, Xeon Silver 4215R</p> <p>*3: Xeon Bronze 3204, Xeon Bronze 3206R</p>
• Operating system	SUSE Linux Enterprise Server 15 4.12.14-25.28-default
• Operating system settings	<p>Stack size set to unlimited using "ulimit -s unlimited"</p> <p>SPECrate2017: Kernel Boot Parameter set with : nohz_full=1-X (X: logical core number -1) echo 10000000 > /proc/sys/kernel/sched_min_granularity_ns</p>
• Compiler	<p>Compiler SPECspeed2017_int, SPECrate2017_int:</p> <p>CPU added in April 2019 C/C++: Version 19.0.1.144 of Intel C/C++ Compiler for Linux; Fortran: Version 19.0.1.144 of Intel Fortran Compiler for Linux</p> <p>CPU added in March 2020 C/C++: Version 19.0.4.227 of Intel C/C++ Compiler for Linux; Fortran: Version 19.0.4.227 of Intel Fortran Compiler for Linux</p> <p>SPECspeed2017_fp C/C++: Version 19.0.2.187 of Intel C/C++ Compiler Build 20190117 for Linux; Fortran: Version 19.0.2.187 of Intel Fortran Compiler Build 20190117 for Linux</p> <p>SPECrate2017_fp: CPU added in April 2019 C/C++: Version 19.0.0.117 of Intel C/C++ Compiler for Linux Fortran: Version 19.0.0.117 of Intel Fortran Compiler for Linux</p> <p>CPU added in March 2020 C/C++: Version 19.0.4.227 of Intel C/C++ Compiler for Linux Fortran: Version 19.0.4.227 of Intel Fortran Compiler for Linux</p>

Benchmark results

In terms of processors, the benchmark result depends primarily on the size of the processor cache, the support for Hyper-Threading, the number of processor cores, and the processor frequency. In the case of processors with Turbo mode, the number of cores, which are loaded by the benchmark, determines the maximum processor frequency that can be achieved. In the case of single-threaded benchmarks, which largely load one core only, the maximum processor frequency that can be achieved is higher than with multi-threaded benchmarks.

The results with "est." are the estimated values.

Processor	Cores	Number of Processors	SPECrate2017 int_base	SPECrate2017 fp_base
April 2019 released				
Xeon Platinum 8280L	28	2	342	282
Xeon Platinum 8280M	28	2	342 est.	282 est.
Xeon Platinum 8280	28	2	342 est.	282 est.
Xeon Platinum 8276L	28	2	302 est.	261 est.
Xeon Platinum 8276M	28	2	302 est.	261 est.
Xeon Platinum 8276	28	2	302	261 est.
Xeon Platinum 8270	26	2	317	269 est.
Xeon Platinum 8268	24	2	306	264 est.
Xeon Platinum 8260L	24	2	285 est.	250 est.
Xeon Platinum 8260M	24	2	285 est.	250 est.
Xeon Platinum 8260Y	24	2	285 est.	250 est.
	20	2	248 est.	232 est.
	16	2	215 est.	213 est.
Xeon Platinum 8260	24	2	276 est.	248 est.
Xeon Gold 6262V	24	2	237 est.	207 est.
Xeon Gold 6254	18	2	250	229
Xeon Gold 6252	24	2	266	241
Xeon Gold 6248	20	2	247	228
Xeon Gold 6246	12	2	182 est.	191 est.
Xeon Gold 6244	8	2	131	150
Xeon Gold 6242	16	2	214	207
Xeon Gold 6240L	18	2	222 est.	212 est.
Xeon Gold 6240M	18	2	222 est.	212 est.
Xeon Gold 6240Y	18	2	222 est.	212 est.
	14	2	184 est.	189 est.
	8	2	115 est.	137 est.
Xeon Gold 6240	18	2	222	212 est.
Xeon Gold 6238L	22	2	248 est.	229 est.
Xeon Gold 6238M	22	2	248 est.	229 est.
Xeon Gold 6238	18	2	248	229 est.
Xeon Gold 6234	22	2	125 est.	140 est.
Xeon Gold 6230	20	2	220	210
Xeon Gold 6226	12	2	164 est.	173 est.
Xeon Gold 6222V	20	2	199 est.	187 est.
Xeon Gold 6212U	24	1	143 est.	127 est.

Xeon Gold 6210U	20	1	124 est.	115 est.
Xeon Gold 6209U	20	1	113 est.	109 est.
Xeon Gold 5222	4	2	62.8 est.	77.2 est.
Xeon Gold 5220S	18	2	199 est.	192 est.
Xeon Gold 5220	18	2	197 est.	192 est.
Xeon Gold 5218B	16	2	183 est.	180 est.
Xeon Gold 5218	16	2	183	180 est.
Xeon Gold 5217	8	2	108	117 est.
Xeon Gold 5215L	10	2	121 est.	128 est.
Xeon Gold 5215M	10	2	121 est.	128 est.
Xeon Gold 5215	10	2	121 est.	128 est.
Xeon Silver 4216	16	2	174	170 est.
Xeon Silver 4215	8	2	97.4	107 est.
Xeon Silver 4214Y	12	2	134 est.	139 est.
	10	2	110 est.	124 est.
	8	2	95 est.	112 est.
Xeon Silver 4214	12	2	134	139 est.
Xeon Silver 4210	10	2	110	119 est.
Xeon Silver 4208	8	2	82.8	92.9 est.
Xeon Bronze 3204	6	2	38.9 est.	54.8 est.

March 2020 released



Xeon Gold 6258R	28	2	330 est.	274 est.
Xeon Gold 6256	12	2	193 est.	200 est.
Xeon Gold 6250	8	2	136 est.	155 est.
Xeon Gold 6248R	24	2	303 est.	261 est.
Xeon Gold 6246R	16	2	236 est.	229 est.
Xeon Gold 6242R	20	2	273 est.	248 est.
Xeon Gold 6240R	24	2	274 est.	242 est.
Xeon Gold 6238R	28	2	296	253 est.
Xeon Gold 6230R	26	2	273 est.	239 est.
Xeon Gold 6226R	16	2	206 est.	201 est.
Xeon Gold 6208U	16	1	108 est.	105 est.
Xeon Gold 5220R	24	2	257 est.	227 est.
Xeon Gold 5218R	20	2	217 est.	200 est.
Xeon Silver 4215R	8	2	100 est.	109 est.
Xeon Silver 4214R	12	2	133 est.	145 est.
Xeon Silver 4210R	10	2	108 est.	121 est.
Xeon Bronze 3206R	8	2	50.4 est.	72.8 est.

Processor	Cores	Number of Processors	SPECspeed2017 int_base	SPECspeed2017 fp_base
-----------	-------	----------------------	------------------------	-----------------------

April 2019 released

Xeon Platinum 8280	28	2	-	157
Xeon Gold 6244	28	2	10.8	-

The following two diagrams illustrate the throughput of the PRIMERGY RX2530 M5 in comparison to its predecessor PRIMERGY RX2530 M4, in their respective most performant configuration.



STREAM

Benchmark description

STREAM is a synthetic benchmark that has been used for many years to determine memory throughput and was developed by John McCalpin during his professorship at the University of Delaware. Today STREAM is supported at the University of Virginia, where the source code can be downloaded in either Fortran or C. STREAM continues to play an important role in the HPC environment in particular. It is for example an integral part of the HPC Challenge benchmark suite.

The benchmark is designed in such a way that it can be used both on PCs and on server systems. The unit of measurement of the benchmark is GB/s, i.e. the number of gigabytes that can be read and written per second.

STREAM measures the memory throughput for sequential accesses. These can generally be performed more efficiently than accesses that are randomly distributed on the memory, because the processor caches are used for sequential access.

Before execution the source code is adapted to the environment to be measured. Therefore, the size of the data area must be at least 12 times larger than the total of all last-level processor caches so that these have as little influence as possible on the result. The OpenMP program library is used to enable selected parts of the program to be executed in parallel during the runtime of the benchmark. This provides optimal load distribution for the available processor cores.

In the STREAM benchmark, a data area consisting of 8-byte elements is continuously copied to four operation types. Arithmetic operations are also performed on operation types other than COPY.

Arithmetics type	Arithmetics	Bytes per step	Floating-point calculation per step
COPY	$a(i) = b(i)$	16	0
SCALE	$a(i) = q \times b(i)$	16	1
SUM	$a(i) = b(i) + c(i)$	24	1
TRIAD	$a(i) = b(i) + q \times c(i)$	24	2

The throughput is output in GB/s for each type of calculation. The differences between the various values are usually only minor on modern systems. In general, only the determined TRIAD value is used as a comparison.

The measured results primarily depend on the clock frequency of the memory modules. The processors influence the arithmetic calculations.

In this chapter, throughputs are indicated as a power of 10. (1 GB/s = 10^9 Byte/s)

Benchmark environment

System Under Test (SUT)

Hardware

• Model	PRIMERGY RX2530 M5
• Processor	2nd Generation Intel Xeon Scalable Processors Family
• Memory	24 × 32 GB (1x32 GB) 2Rx4 PC4-2933Y-R

Software

• BIOS settings	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • IMC Interleaving = 1-way • Override OS Energy Performance = Enabled • HWPM Support = Disable • Intel Virtualization Technology = Disabled • Energy Performance = Performance • LLC Dead Line Alloc = Disabled • Stale AtoS = Enabled • Sub NUMA Clustering = Disabled*1 • WR CRC feature Control = Disabled <p>*1: Xeon Gold 5217, Xeon Gold 5215, Xeon Silver 4215, Xeon Silver 4210, Xeon Silver 4208, Xeon Bronze 3204, Xeon Bronze 3206R, Xeon Silver 4210R, Xeon Silver 4215R</p>
• Operating system	SUSE Linux Enterprise Server 15
• Operating system settings	<p>Kernel Boot Parameter set with : nohz_full=1-X (X: logical core number -1) echo never > /sys/kernel/mm/transparent_hugepage/enabled run with avx512 or avx2*1</p> <p>*1: Xeon Gold 5220R, Xeon Gold 5218R, Xeon Silver 4215R, Xeon Silver 4214R, Xeon Silver 4210R, Xeon Bronze 3206R</p>
• Compiler	<p>CPU added in April 2019 C/C++: Version 2019.3.0.591499 of Intel C/C++ Compiler for Linux CPU added in March 2020 C/C++: Version 19.0.4.227 of Intel C/C++ Compiler for Linux</p>
• Benchmark	STREAM Version 5.10

Some components may not be available in all countries or sales regions.

Benchmark results

The results with "est." are the estimated values.

Processor	Memory Frequency [MHz]	Max. Memory Bandwidth*1 [GB/s]	Cores	Processor Frequency [GHz]	Number of Processors	TRIAD [GB/s]
April 2019 released						
Xeon Platinum 8280L	2,933	140.8	28	2.7	2	242 est.
Xeon Platinum 8280M	2,933	140.8	28	2.7	2	242 est.
Xeon Platinum 8280	2,933	140.8	28	2.7	2	242
Xeon Platinum 8276L	2,933	140.8	28	2.2	2	238 est.
Xeon Platinum 8276M	2,933	140.8	28	2.2	2	238 est.
Xeon Platinum 8276	2,933	140.8	28	2.2	2	238
Xeon Platinum 8270	2,933	140.8	26	2.7	2	242
Xeon Platinum 8268	2,933	140.8	24	2.9	2	244
Xeon Platinum 8260L	2,933	140.8	24	2.4	2	243 est.
Xeon Platinum 8260M	2,933	140.8	24	2.4	2	243 est.
Xeon Platinum 8260Y	2,933	140.8	24	2.4	2	243 est.
	2,933	140.8	20	2.4	2	245 est.
	2,933	140.8	16	2.4	2	245 est.
Xeon Platinum 8260	2,933	140.8	24	2.4	2	243 est.
Xeon Gold 6262V	2,933	140.8	24	1.9	2	tbd.
Xeon Gold 6254	2,933	140.8	18	3.1	2	227
Xeon Gold 6252	2,933	140.8	24	2.1	2	242
Xeon Gold 6248	2,933	140.8	20	2.5	2	235
Xeon Gold 6246	2,933	140.8	12	3.3	2	228 est.
Xeon Gold 6244	2,933	140.8	8	3.6	2	203 est.
Xeon Gold 6242	2,933	140.8	16	2.8	2	220
Xeon Gold 6240L	2,933	140.8	18	2.6	2	227 est.
Xeon Gold 6240M	2,933	140.8	18	2.6	2	227 est.
Xeon Gold 6240Y	2,933	140.8	18	2.6	2	227 est.
	2,933	140.8	14	2.6	2	229 est.
	2,933	140.8	8	2.6	2	193 est.
Xeon Gold 6240	2,933	140.8	18	2.6	2	227
Xeon Gold 6238M	2,933	140.8	22	2.1	2	238 est.
Xeon Gold 6238L	2,933	140.8	22	2.1	2	238 est.
Xeon Gold 6238	2,933	140.8	22	2.1	2	238 est.
Xeon Gold 6234	2,933	140.8	8	3.3	2	161 est.
Xeon Gold 6230	2,933	140.8	20	2.1	2	234
Xeon Gold 6226	2,933	140.8	12	2.7	2	213 est.
Xeon Gold 6222V	2,400	140.8	20	1.8	2	198 est.
Xeon Gold 6212U	2,933	140.8	24	2.4	1	124 est.
Xeon Gold 6210U	2,933	140.8	20	2.5	1	119 est.
Xeon Gold 6209U	2,933	140.8	20	2.1	1	123 est.
Xeon Gold 5222	2,933	140.8	4	3.8	2	103 est.
Xeon Gold 5220S	2,666	128.0	18	2.7	2	209 est.


Xeon Gold 5220	2,666	128.0	18	2.2	2	212	est.
Xeon Gold 5218B	2,666	128.0	16	2.3	2	210	est.
Xeon Gold 5218	2,666	128.0	16	2.3	2	210	est.
Xeon Gold 5217	2,666	128.0	8	3.0	2	138	est.
Xeon Gold 5215L	2,666	128.0	10	2.5	2	156	est.
Xeon Gold 5215M	2,666	128.0	10	2.5	2	156	est.
Xeon Gold 5215	2,666	128.0	10	2.5	2	156	est.
Xeon Silver 4216	2,400	115.2	16	2.1	2	194	est.
Xeon Silver 4215	2,400	115.2	8	2.5	2	97.5	est.
Xeon Silver 4214Y	2,400	115.2	12	2.2	2	174	est.
	2,400	115.2	10	2.2	2	175	est.
	2,400	115.2	8	2.2	2	165	est.
Xeon Silver 4214	2,400	115.2	12	2.2	2	174	est.
Xeon Silver 4210	2,400	115.2	10	2.2	2	98.5	est.
Xeon Silver 4208	2,400	115.2	8	2.1	2	95.3	est.
Xeon Bronze 3204	2,133	102.4	6	1.9	2	77	est.


March 2020 released

Xeon Gold 6258R	2,933	140.8	28	2.7	2	241	
Xeon Gold 6256	2,933	140.8	12	3.6	2	230	est.
Xeon Gold 6250	2,933	140.8	8	3.9	2	184	est.
Xeon Gold 6248R	2,933	140.8	24	3.0	2	241	est.
Xeon Gold 6246R	2,933	140.8	16	3.4	2	245	est.
Xeon Gold 6242R	2,933	140.8	20	3.1	2	245	est.
Xeon Gold 6240R	2,933	140.8	24	2.4	2	241	est.
Xeon Gold 6238R	2,933	140.8	28	2.2	2	240	est.
Xeon Gold 6230R	2,933	140.8	26	2.1	2	239	est.
Xeon Gold 6226R	2,933	140.8	16	2.9	2	220	est.
Xeon Gold 6208U	2,933	140.8	16	2.9	1	120	est.
Xeon Gold 5220R	2,666	128.0	24	2.2	2	221	est.
Xeon Gold 5218R	2,666	128.0	20	2.1	2	215	est.
Xeon Silver 4215R	2,400	115.2	8	3.2	2	118	est.
Xeon Silver 4214R	2,400	115.2	12	2.4	2	165	est.
Xeon Silver 4210R	2,400	115.2	10	2.4	2	94.2	est.
Xeon Bronze 3206R	2,133	102.4	8	1.9	2	82	est.

*1: Value per Processor

The following diagram illustrates the throughput of the PRIMERGY RX2530 M5 in comparison to its predecessor, the PRIMERGY RX2530 M4.





LINPACK

Benchmark description

LINPACK was developed in the 1970s by Jack Dongarra and some other people to show the performance of supercomputers. The benchmark consists of a collection of library functions for the analysis and solution of linear system of equations. The description can be found in the following document.

<http://www.netlib.org/utk/people/JackDongarra/PAPERS/hplpaper.pdf>

LINPACK can be used to measure the speed of computers when solving a linear equation system. For this purpose, an $n \times n$ matrix is set up and filled with random numbers between -2 and +2. The calculation is then performed via LU decomposition with partial pivoting.

A memory of $8n^2$ bytes is required for the matrix. In case of an $n \times n$ matrix the number of arithmetic operations required for the solution is $2/3n^3 + 2n^2$. Thus, the choice of n determines the duration of the measurement. In other words, if n is doubled, the measurement time will be approximately eight times longer. The size of n also has an influence on the measurement result itself. As n increases, the measured value asymptotically approaches its limit. The size of the matrix is therefore usually adapted to the amount of memory available. Furthermore, the memory bandwidth of the system only plays a minor role for the measurement result, but a role that cannot be fully ignored. The processor performance is the decisive factor for the measurement result. Since the algorithm used permits parallel processing, in particular the number of processors used and their processor cores are - in addition to the clock rate - of outstanding significance.

LINPACK is used to measure how many floating point operations were carried out per second. The result is referred to as **Rmax** and specified in GFlops (Giga Floating Point Operations per Second: 1 billion floating point operations/second).

An upper limit, referred to as **Rpeak**, for the speed of a computer can be calculated from the maximum number of floating point operations that its processor cores could theoretically carry out in one clock cycle.

Rpeak = Maximum number of floating point operations per clock cycle
 x Number of processor cores of the computer
 x Rated processor frequency [GHz]

LINPACK is classed as one of the leading benchmarks in the field of high performance computing (HPC). LINPACK is one of the seven benchmarks currently included in the HPC Challenge benchmark suite, which takes other performance aspects in the HPC environment into account.

Manufacturer-independent publication of LINPACK results is possible at <http://www.top500.org/>. This requires using an HPL-based LINPACK version (see <http://www.netlib.org/benchmark/hpl/>).

Intel offers a highly optimized LINPACK version (shared memory version) for individual systems with Intel processors. Parallel processes communicate here via "shared memory," i.e. jointly used memory. Another version provided by Intel is based on HPL (High Performance Linpack). Intercommunication of the LINPACK processes here takes place via OpenMP and MPI (Message Passing Interface). This enables communication between the parallel processes - also from one computer to another. Both versions can be downloaded from <http://software.intel.com/en-us/articles/intel-math-kernel-library-linpack-download/>.

Manufacturer-specific LINPACK versions also come into play when graphics cards for General Purpose Computation on Graphics Processing Unit (GPGPU) are used. These are based on HPL and include extensions which are needed for communication with the graphics cards. Benchmark environment

Benchmark environment

System Under Test (SUT)

Hardware

• Model	PRIMERGY RX2530 M5
• Processor	2nd Generation Intel Xeon Scalable Processors Family
• Memory	24 × 32 GB (1x32 GB) 2Rx4 PC4-2933Y-R

Software

• BIOS settings	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • HyperThreading = Disabled • HWPM Support = Disabled • Link Frequency Select = 10.4 GT/s • Intel Virtualization Technology = Disabled • Sub NUMA Clustering = Disabled • LLC Dead Line Alloc = Disabled • Stale AtoS = Enabled • WR CRC feature Control = Disabled • Fan Control = Full
• Operating system	SUSE Linux Enterprise Server 12 SP4
• Operating system settings	<p>Kernel Boot Parameter set with : nohz_full=1-X (X: logical core number -1)</p> <p>cpupower -c all frequency-set -g performance</p> <p>echo 50000 > /proc/sys/kernel/sched_cfs_bandwidth_slice_us</p> <p>echo 240000000 > /proc/sys/kernel/sched_latency_ns</p> <p>echo 5000000 > /proc/sys/kernel/sched_migration_cost_ns</p> <p>echo 100000000 > /proc/sys/kernel/sched_min_granularity_ns</p> <p>echo 150000000 > /proc/sys/kernel/sched_wakeup_granularity_ns</p> <p>echo always > /sys/kernel/mm/transparent_hugepage/enabled</p> <p>echo 1048576 > /proc/sys/fs/aio-max-nr</p> <p>run with avx512 or avx2^{*1}</p> <p>^{*1}: Xeon Gold 5220R, Xeon Gold 5218R, Xeon Silver 4215R, Xeon Silver 4214R, Xeon Silver 4210R, Xeon Bronze 3206R</p>
• Compiler	<p>CPU added in April 2019</p> <p>C/C++: Version 2019.3.0.591499 of Intel C/C++ Compiler for Linux</p> <p>CPU added in March 2020</p> <p>C/C++: Version 19.0.4.227 of Intel C/C++ Compiler for Linux</p>
• Benchmark	Intel Optimized MP LINPACK Benchmark for Clusters

Some components may not be available in all countries or sales regions.

Benchmark results

The results with "est." are the estimated values.

Processor	Cores	Processor Frequency [GHz]	Number of Processors	Rpeak [GFlops]	Rmax [GFlops]	Efficiency
April 2019 released						
Xeon Platinum 8280L	28	2.7	2	4,838	3,484 est.	72%
Xeon Platinum 8280M	28	2.7	2	4,838	3,484 est.	72%
Xeon Platinum 8280	28	2.7	2	4,838	3,484 est.	72%
Xeon Platinum 8276L	28	2.2	2	3,942	2,738 est.	69%
Xeon Platinum 8276M	28	2.2	2	3,942	2,738 est.	69%
Xeon Platinum 8276	28	2.2	2	3,942	2,738	69%
Xeon Platinum 8270	26	2.7	2	4,493	3,166 est.	70%
Xeon Platinum 8268	24	2.9	2	4,454	3,112	70%
Xeon Platinum 8260L	24	2.4	2	3,686	2,705 est.	73%
Xeon Platinum 8260M	24	2.4	2	3,686	2,705 est.	73%
Xeon Platinum 8260Y	24	2.4	2	3,686	2,705 est.	73%
	20	2.4	2	3,072	2,402 est.	78%
	16	2.4	2	2,458	2,126 est.	87%
Xeon Platinum 8260	24	2.4	2	3,686	2,705 est.	73%
Xeon Gold 6262V	24	1.9	2	2,918	2,039 est.	70%
Xeon Gold 6254	18	3.1	2	3,571	2,676 est.	75%
Xeon Gold 6252	24	2.1	2	3,226	2,646. est.	82%
Xeon Gold 6248	20	2.5	2	3,200	2430	76%
Xeon Gold 6246	12	3.3	2	2,534	1,895 est.	75%
Xeon Gold 6244	8	3.6	2	1,843	1444	78%
Xeon Gold 6242	16	2.8	2	2,867	2216	77%
Xeon Gold 6240L	18	2.6	2	2,995	2,146 est.	72%
Xeon Gold 6240M	18	2.6	2	2,995	2,146 est.	72%
Xeon Gold 6240Y	18	2.6	2	2,995	2,186 est.	73%
	14	2.6	2	2,330	1,874 est.	80%
	8	2.6	2	1,331	1,386 est.	104%
Xeon Gold 6240	18	2.6	2	2,995	2,146 est.	72%
Xeon Gold 6238M	22	2.1	2	2,957	2,309 est.	78%
Xeon Gold 6238L	22	2.1	2	2,957	2,309 est.	78%
Xeon Gold 6238	22	2.1	2	2,957	2,309 est.	78%
Xeon Gold 6234	8	3.3	2	1,690	1,311 est.	78%
Xeon Gold 6230	20	2.1	2	2,688	1,955 est.	73%
Xeon Gold 6226	12	2.7	2	2,074	1,714 est.	83%
Xeon Gold 6222V	20	1.8	2	2,304	1,865 est.	81%
Xeon Gold 6212U	24	2.4	1	1,843	1,372 est.	74%
Xeon Gold 6210U	20	2.5	1	1,600	tbd.	
Xeon Gold 6209U	20	2.1	1	1,344	tbd.	
Xeon Gold 5222	4	3.8	2	973	766 est.	79%
Xeon Gold 5220S	18	2.7	2	1,555	1,246 est.	80%
Xeon Gold 5220	18	2.2	2	1,267	1,221 est.	96%

Xeon Gold 5218B	16	2.3	2	1,178	1,101	est.	93%
Xeon Gold 5218	16	2.3	2	1,178	1,101	est.	93%
Xeon Gold 5217	8	3.0	2	768	707	est.	92%
Xeon Gold 5215L	10	2.5	2	800	758	est.	95%
Xeon Gold 5215M	10	2.5	2	800	758	est.	95%
Xeon Gold 5215	10	2.5	2	800	758	est.	95%
Xeon Silver 4216	16	2.1	2	1,075	1,055	est.	98%
Xeon Silver 4215	8	2.5	2	640	620	est.	97%
Xeon Silver 4214Y	12	2.2	2	845	796	est.	94%
	10	2.2	2	704	716	est.	102%
	8	2.2	2	563	647	est.	115%
Xeon Silver 4214	12	2.2	2	845	796	est.	94%
Xeon Silver 4210	10	2.2	2	704	690	est.	98%
Xeon Silver 4208	8	2.1	2	538	482	est.	90%
Xeon Bronze 3204	6	1.9	2	365	272	est.	75%

March 2020 released

Xeon Gold 6258R	28	2.7	2	4,838	3,358		69%
Xeon Gold 6256	12	3.6	2	2,765	2,152	est.	78%
Xeon Gold 6250	8	3.9	2	1,997	1,571	est.	79%
Xeon Gold 6248R	24	3.0	2	4,608	3,147	est.	68%
Xeon Gold 6246R	16	3.4	2	3,482	2,556	est.	73%
Xeon Gold 6242R	20	3.1	2	3,968	2,897	est.	73%
Xeon Gold 6240R	24	2.4	2	3,686	2,593	est.	70%
Xeon Gold 6238R	28	2.2	2	3,942	2,716	est.	69%
Xeon Gold 6230R	26	2.1	2	3,494	2,484	est.	71%
Xeon Gold 6226R	16	2.9	2	2,970	2,136	est.	72%
Xeon Gold 6208U	16	2.9	1	1,485	1,138	est.	77%
Xeon Gold 5220R	24	2.2	2	1,690	1,505	est.	89%
Xeon Gold 5218R	20	2.1	2	1,344	1,219	est.	91%
Xeon Silver 4215R	8	3.2	2	819	626	est.	76%
Xeon Silver 4214R	12	2.4	2	922	883	est.	96%
Xeon Silver 4210R	10	2.4	2	768	754	est.	98%
Xeon Bronze 3206R	8	1.9	2	486	442	est.	91%

Rpeak values in the table above were calculated by the base frequency of each processor. Since we enabled Turbo mode in the measurements, the average Turbo frequency exceeded the base frequency for some processors.

As explained in the section "Technical Data," Intel generally does not guarantee that the maximum turbo frequency can be reached in the processor models due to manufacturing tolerances. A further restriction applies for workloads, such as those generated by LINPACK, with intensive use of AVX instructions and a high number of instructions per clock unit. Here the frequency of a core can also be limited if the upper limits of the processor for power consumption and temperature are reached before the upper limit for the current consumption. This can result in the achievement of a lower performance with turbo mode than without turbo mode. In such a case, disable the turbo function in the BIOS option.



SPECpower_ssj2008

Benchmark description

SPECpower_ssj2008 is the first industry-standard SPEC benchmark that evaluates the power and performance characteristics of a server. With SPECpower_ssj2008 SPEC has defined standards for server power measurements in the same way they have done for performance.

The benchmark workload represents typical server-side Java business applications. The workload is scalable, multi-threaded, portable across a wide range of platforms, and easy to run. The benchmark tests CPUs, caches, the memory hierarchy, and scalability of symmetric multiprocessor systems (SMPs), as well as the implementation of Java Virtual Machine (JVM), Just In Time (JIT) compilers, garbage collection, threads, and some aspects of the operating system.

SPECpower_ssj2008 reports power consumption for servers at different performance levels — from 100% to “active idle” in 10% segments — over a set period of time. The graduated workload recognizes the fact that processing loads and power consumption on servers vary substantially over the course of days or weeks. To compute a power-performance metric across all levels, measured transaction throughputs for each segment are added together and then divided by the sum of the average power consumed for each segment. The result is a figure of merit called “overall ssj_ops/watt”. This ratio provides information about the energy efficiency of the measured server. The defined measurement standard enables customers to compare it with other configurations and servers measured with SPECpower_ssj2008. The diagram shows a typical graph of a SPECpower_ssj2008 result.



The benchmark runs on a wide variety of operating systems and hardware architectures and does not require extensive client or storage infrastructure. The minimum equipment for SPEC-compliant testing is two networked computers, plus a power analyzer and a temperature sensor. One computer is the System Under Test (SUT) which runs one of the supported operating systems and the JVM. The JVM provides the environment required to run the SPECpower_ssj2008 workload which is implemented in Java. The other computer is a “Control & Collection System” (CCS) which controls the operation of the benchmark and captures the power, performance, and temperature readings for reporting. The diagram provides an overview of the basic structure of the benchmark configuration and the various components.

Benchmark environment

System Under Test (SUT)

Hardware

• Model	PRIMERGY RX2530 M5
• Processor	Intel Xeon Platinum 8276L
• Memory	12 ×16 GB (1x16 GB) 2Rx8 PC4-2933Y-R
• Network interface	2 x Intel I350 Gigabit Network Connection (onboard)
• Disk subsystem	1 x SSD M.2 240GB, S26361-F5706-E240
• Power Supply Unit	1 × Fujitsu Technology Solutions S26113-F615-E10

Software

• BIOS	R1.8.0
• BIOS settings	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • SATA Controller = Disabled. • Serial Port = Disabled. • Hardware Prefetcher = Disabled. • Adjacent Cache Line Prefetch = Disabled. • DCU Streamer Prefetcher = Disabled. • Intel Virtualization Technology = Disabled. • Turbo Mode = Disabled. • Override OS Energy Performance = Enabled. • Energy Performance = Energy Efficient. • DDR Performance = Power balanced.(effective memory frequency = 2400 MHz) • Autonomous C-state Support = Enabled. • ASPM Support = Auto. • UPI Link Frequency Select = 9.6GT/s. • Uncore Frequency Override = Power balanced. • IMC Interleaving = 1-way. • Package C State limit = C6(Retention). • HWPM = Disabled. • USB Port Control = Enable internal ports only. • Network Stack = Disabled. • LAN Controller = LAN1.
• Firmware	2.00c
• Operating system	SUSE Linux Enterprise Server 12 SP4 4.12.14-94.41-default
• Operating system settings	<pre> kernel parameter:pcie_aspm=force pcie_aspm.policy=powersave intel_pstate=disable rcu_nocbs=1-111 nohz=off isolcpus=1-111 modprobe cpufreq_conservative cpupower frequency-set --governor conservative echo -n 98 > /sys/devices/system/cpu/cpufreq/conservative/up_threshold echo -n 2 > /sys/devices/system/cpu/cpufreq/conservative/freq_step echo -n 1000000 > /sys/devices/system/cpu/cpufreq/conservative/sampling_rate echo -n 0 > /sys/devices/system/cpu/cpufreq/conservative/ignore_nice_load sysctl -w kernel.sched_migration_cost_ns=6000 echo -n 97 > /sys/devices/system/cpu/cpufreq/conservative/down_threshold </pre>


	<pre>echo -n 1 > /sys/devices/system/cpu/cpufreq/conservative/sampling_down_factor sysctl -w kernel.sched_min_granularity_ns=10000000 echo always > /sys/kernel/mm/transparent_hugepage/enabled powertop --auto-tune echo 0 > /proc/sys/kernel/nmi_watchdog sysctl -w vm.swappiness=50 sysctl -w vm.laptop_mode=5</pre> <p><Yes>: The test sponsor attests, as of date of publication, that CVE-2017-5754 (Meltdown) is mitigated in the system as tested and documented.</p> <p><Yes>: The test sponsor attests, as of date of publication, that CVE-2017-5753 (Spectre variant 1) is mitigated in the system as tested and documented.</p> <p><Yes>: The test sponsor attests, as of date of publication, that CVE-2017-5715 (Spectre variant 2) is mitigated in the system as tested and documented.</p>
• JVM	Oracle Java HotSpot 64-Bit Server VM (build 24.80-b11, mixed mode), version 1.7.0_80
• JVM settings	<pre>-server -Xmn1700m -Xms1950m -Xmx1950m -XX:SurvivorRatio=1 -XX:TargetSurvivorRatio=99 -XX:AllocatePrefetchDistance=256 - XX:AllocatePrefetchLines=4 -XX:LoopUnrollLimit=45 -XX:InitialTenuringThreshold=12 - XX:MaxTenuringThreshold=15 -XX:ParallelGCThreads=8 -XX:InlineSmallCode=3900 -XX:MaxInlineSize=270 -XX:FreqInlineSize=2500 -XX:+AggressiveOpts -XX:+UseLargePages -XX:+UseParallelOldGC</pre>

Some components may not be available in all countries or sales regions.

Benchmark results

The PRIMERGY RX2530 M5 achieved the following result:

SPECpower_ssj2008 = 13,006 overall ssj_ops/watt



The adjoining diagram shows the result of the configuration described above. The red horizontal bars show the performance to power ratio in ssj_ops/watt (upper x-axis) for each target load level tagged on the y-axis of the diagram. The blue line shows the run of the curve for the average power consumption (bottom x-axis) at each target load level marked with a small rhomb. The black vertical line shows the benchmark result of 13,006 overall ssj_ops/watt for the PRIMERGYRX2530 M5. This is the quotient of the sum of the transaction throughputs for each load level and the sum of the average power consumed for each measurement interval.

Performance		Power		Energy Efficiency
Target Load	ssj_ops	Average Power (W)		ssj_ops/watt
100%	5,189,194	367		14,140
90%	4,675,766	322		14,528
80%	4,198,454	272		15,298
70%	3,657,993	236		15,505
60%	3,114,327	208		15,008
50%	2,598,186	185		14,035
40%	2,078,598	166		12,533
30%	1,557,815	148		10,519
20%	1,035,935	130		7,956
10%	520,770	111		4,684
Active Idle	0	53.6		0
Σ ssj_ops / Σ power = 13,006				

SPECjbb2015

Benchmark description

The SPECjbb2015 benchmark is the latest version of a series of Java benchmark following SPECjbb2000, SPECjbb2005 and SPECjbb2013. "jbb" stands for Java Business Benchmark. It evaluates the performance and the scalability of the Java business application environment.

The SPECjbb2015 is a benchmark modeled on the business activity of a world-wide supermarket company IT infrastructure. The company has some supermarket stores, headquarters which manage them and suppliers who replenishes the inventory. The following processing is exercised based on the requests from customers and company inside.

- POS (Point Of Sales) processing in supermarkets and online purchases
- Issuing and managing coupons and discounts and customer payments management
- Managing receipts, invoices and customer databases
- Interaction with suppliers for the replenishment of the inventory
- Data mining operations to identify sale patterns and to generate quarterly business reports


The SPECjbb2015 benchmark has a two performance metrics:

- max-jOPS : This is the maximum transaction rate that can be achieved while the system under test meets the benchmark constraints. That is, it is a metric of the maximum processing throughput of the system.
- critical-jOPS : This is the geometric mean of the maximum transaction rates that can be achieved while meeting the constraint on the response time of 10, 25, 50, 75 and 100 milliseconds. In other words, it is a metric of the maximum processing throughput of the system under response time constraint.


The SPECjbb2015 benchmark consists of the three components, Backends (BE) which contains the business logic and data, Transaction Injector (TxI) which issues transaction requests, and Controller (Ctr) which directs them. With the configuration of these components, the benchmark is divided into the following three categories:

- SPECjbb2015 Composite
All components run on one JVM running on one host.
- SPECjbb2015 MultijVM
All components are existed on one host, but each runs on a separate JVM.
- SPECjbb2015 Distributed
Back-ends are existed on hosts separated from hosts on which the other components are running. Back-ends and the other components are connected by networks.


Results are not comparable to those in other categories.



(a) example of SPECjbb2015 Composite configuration



(b) example of SPECjbb2015 MultiJVM configuration



(c) example of SPECjbb2015 Distributed configuration

The result of the SPECjbb2015 benchmark reflects not only the performance of Java runtime environment (JRE) but the performance of the operating system and the hardware underneath it. For JRE, the factors like Java Virtual Machine (JVM), Just-in-time Compiler (JIT), garbage collection, user thread affect a performance score, and for hardware, the performance of processors, memory subsystem, and network has an impact on it. The SPECjbb2015 benchmark does not cover disk I/O performance.

The detailed specification of the benchmark can be found at <https://www.spec.org/jbb2015/>.

Benchmark environment

PRIMERGY RX2530 M5 was configured for the SPECjbb2015 Composite benchmark measurement.

System Under Test (SUT)

Hardware

• Model	PRIMERGY RX2530 M5
• Processor	2 × Intel Xeon Platinum 8280L
• Memory	24 × 32 GB (1x32 GB) 2Rx4 PC4-2933Y-R
• Network interface	1 Gbit/s LAN
• Disk subsystem	Disk : 1 × M.2 SSD 240 GB

Software

For measurement result (1)

• BIOS settings	Override OS Energy Performance set to Enabled Energy Performance set to Performance LlcDeadLineAlloc set to Disabled StaleAtos set to Disabled Uncore Frequency Override set to Maximum Intel Virtualization Technology set to Disabled
• Operating system	SUSE Linux Enterprise Server 15 (kernel 4.12.14-25.28-default was applied)
• Operating system settings	echo 1000 > /proc/sys/kernel/sched_migration_cost_ns echo 990000 > /proc/sys/kernel/sched_rt_runtime_us echo 0 > /proc/sys/kernel/numa_balancing cpupower -c all frequency-set -g performance
• JVM	Oracle Java SE 11.0.2
• JVM settings	-server -Xms690g -Xmx690g -Xmn660g -XX:SurvivorRatio=69 - XX:MaxTenuringThreshold=15 -XX:+UseLargePages -XX:LargePageSizeInBytes=2m - XX:+UseParallelOldGC -Xnoclassgc -XX:+AggressiveOpts -XX:+UseNUMA -XX:-UseBiasedLocking - XX:+AlwaysPreTouch -XX: -UseAdaptiveSizePolicy -XX:-UsePerfData -XX:TargetSurvivorRatio=90 -XX:ParallelGCThreads=112 -verbose:gc -XX:+PrintGCDetails - XX:+UseHugeTLBFS -XX:+UseTransparentHugePages -XX:-ShrinkHeapInSteps
• SPECjbb2015 settings	specjbb.comm.connect.client.pool.size = 300 specjbb.comm.connect.selector.runner.count = 4 specjbb.comm.connect.timeouts.connect = 600000 specjbb.comm.connect.timeouts.read = 600000 specjbb.comm.connect.timeouts.write = 600000 specjbb.comm.connect.worker.pool.max = 300 specjbb.comm.connect.worker.pool.min = 64 specjbb.customerDriver.threads = {probe=95, saturate=64} specjbb.forkjoin.workers = {Tier1=180, Tier2=1, Tier3=25} specjbb.mapreducer.pool.size = 112

For measurement result (2)

• BIOS settings	Link Frequency Select set to 10.4 GT/s
• Operating system	SUSE Linux Enterprise Server 15 (kernel 4.12.14-25.28-default was applied)
• Operating system settings	echo 0 > /proc/sys/kernel/numa_balancing

	cpupower -c all frequency-set -g performance tuned-adm profile throughput-performance
• JVM	Oracle Java SE 11.0.2
• JVM settings	-server -Xms690g -Xmx690g -Xmn660g -XX:SurvivorRatio=69 - XX:MaxTenuringThreshold=15 -XX:+UseLargePages -XX:LargePageSizeInBytes=2m - XX:+UseParallelOldGC -Xnoclassgc -XX:+AggressiveOpts -XX:+UseNUMA -XX:-UseBiasedLocking - XX:+AlwaysPreTouch -XX:-UseAdaptiveSizePolicy -XX:-UsePerfData - XX:TargetSurvivorRatio=90 -XX:ParallelGCThreads=112 -verbose:gc -XX:+PrintGCDetails - XX:+UseHugeTLBFS -XX:+UseTransparentHugePages -XX:InlineSmallCode=17k - XX:+UseStringDeduplication
• SPECjbb2015 settings	specjbb.comm.connect.client.pool.size = 300 specjbb.comm.connect.worker.pool.max = 300 specjbb.comm.connect.worker.pool.min = 64

Some components may not be available in all countries or sales regions.

Benchmark results

Measurement result (1) : "SPECjbb2015 Composite" (April 2, 2018)

157,061 SPECjbb2015-Composite max-jOPS

132,521 SPECjbb2015-Composite critical-jOPS

Measurement result (2) : "SPECjbb2015 Composite" (April 2, 2018)

160,590 SPECjbb2015-Composite max-jOPS

103,966 SPECjbb2015-Composite critical-jOPS

The latest results of the SPECjbb2015 benchmark can be found at
<https://www.spec.org/jbb2015/results/> .

Disk I/O: Performance of storage media

Benchmark description

Performance measurements of disk subsystems for PRIMERGY servers are used to assess their performance and enable a comparison of the different storage connections for PRIMERGY servers. As standard, these performance measurements are carried out with a defined measurement method, which models the accesses of real application scenarios on the basis of specifications.

The essential specifications are:

- Share of random accesses / sequential accesses
- Share of read / write access types
- Block size (kiB)
- Number of parallel accesses (# of outstanding I/Os)

A given value combination of these specifications is known as "load profile". The following five standard load profiles can be allocated to typical application scenarios:

Standard load profile	Access	Type of access		Block size [kiB]	Application
		read	write		
Filecopy	Random	50%	50%	64	Copying files
Fileserver	Random	67%	33%	64	Fileserver
Database	Random	67%	33%	8	Database (data transfer) Mail server
Streaming	Sequential	100%	0%	64	Database (log file), Data backup, Video streaming (partial)
Restore	Sequential	0%	100%	64	Restoring files

In order to model applications that access in parallel with a different load intensity the "# of Outstanding I/Os" is increased from 1 to 512 (in steps to the power of two).

The measurements of this document are based on these standard load profiles.

The main results of a measurement are:

- Throughput [MiB/s] Throughput in megabytes per second
- Transactions [I/O/s] Transaction rate in I/O operations per second
- Latency [ms] Average response time in ms

The data throughput has established itself as the normal measurement variable for sequential load profiles, whereas the measurement variable "transaction rate" is mostly used for random load profiles with their small block sizes. Data throughput and transaction rate are directly proportional to each other and can be transferred to each other according to the formula.

<i>Data throughput [MiB/s]</i>	<i>= Transaction rate [I/O/s] × Block size [MiB]</i>
<i>Transaction rate [I/O/s]</i>	<i>= Data throughput [MiB/s] / Block size [MiB]</i>

This section specifies capacities of storage media on a basis of 10 (1 TB = 10¹² bytes) while all other capacities, file sizes, block sizes and throughputs are specified on a basis of 2 (1 MiB/s = 2²⁰ bytes/s).

All the details of the measurement method and the basics of disk I/O performance are described in the white paper [“Basics of Disk I/O Performance”](#).

Benchmark environment

All the measurement results discussed in this section apply for the hardware and software components listed below:

System Under Test (SUT)

Hardware

3.5 inch Model:

Controller:

Storage media	Category	Drive Name
HDD	SAS HDD (SAS 12Gbps, 10k rpm) [512e]	AL15SEB18EQ *2 *3
	SAS HDD (SAS 12Gbps, 10k rpm) [512n]	AL15SEB030N *2 *3
	SAS HDD (SAS 12Gbps, 15k rpm) [512n]	ST300MP0006 *1 *3
	NL-SAS HDD (SAS 12Gbps, 7.2k rpm) [512e]	HUH721212AL5204 *2 *3
	NL-SAS HDD (SAS 12Gbps, 7.2k rpm) [512n]	ST2000NM0045 *1 *3
	BC-SATA HDD (SATA 6Gbps, 7.2k rpm) [512e]	ST6000NM0115 *1 *3 HUH721212ALE604 *2 *3
	BC-SATA HDD (SATA 6Gbps, 7.2k rpm) [512n]	HUS722T1TALA604 *2 *3 ST2000NM0055 *1 *3
SSD	SAS SSD (SAS 12Gbps, Write Intensive)	KPM51MUG400G *2 *3
		KPM51MUG800G *2 *3
		KPM51MUG1T60 *2 *3
	SAS SSD (SAS 12Gbps, Mixed Use)	WUSTR6440ASS204 *2 *3
		WUSTR6480ASS204 *2 *3
		WUSTR6416ASS204 *2 *3
		WUSTR6432ASS204 *2 *3
	SAS SSD (SAS 12Gbps, Read Intensive)	WUSTR1548ASS204 *2 *3
		WUSTR1596ASS204 *2 *3
		WUSTR1519ASS204 *2 *3
		WUSTR1538ASS204 *2 *3
		WUSTR1576ASS204 *2 *3
	SATA SSD (SATA 6Gbps, Mixed Use)	MZ7KH240HAHQ *2 *3
		MZ7KH480HAHQ *2 *3
		MZ7KH960HAJR *2 *3
		MZ7KH1T9HAJR *2 *3
		MZ7KH3T8HALS *2 *3
	SATA SSD (SATA 6Gbps, Read Intensive)	MTFDDAK240TCB *2 *3
		MTFDDAK480TDC *2 *3
		MTFDDAK960TDC *2 *3
		MTFDDAK1T9TDC *2 *3
		MTFDDAK3T8TDC *2 *3
		MTFDDAK7T6TDC *2 *3

Controller: Integrated PCI Express controller CPU: 2x Intel(R) Xeon(R) Gold 5222 (3.80GHz)		
Storage media	Category	Drive Name
SSD	PCIe SSD AIC (Write Intensive)	SSDPED1K375GA *2 *4
		SSDPED1K750GA *2 *4

Controller: Intel(R) C620 Standard SATA AHCI controller		
Storage media	Category	Drive Name
SSD	M.2 Flash Module	MTFDDAV240TCB *2 *4
		MTFDDAV480TCB *2 *4

2.5 inch Model:

Controller: 1x PRAID CP400i

Storage media	Category	Drive Name
HDD	SAS HDD (SAS 12Gbps, 10k rpm) [512e]	AL15SEB06EQ *2 *3
	SAS HDD (SAS 12Gbps, 10k rpm) [512n]	AL15SEB030N *2 *3
	SAS HDD (SAS 12Gbps, 15k rpm) [512n]	ST300MP0006 *1 *3
	NL-SAS HDD (SAS 12Gbps, 7.2k rpm) [512n]	ST1000NX0453 *1 *3
	BC-SATA HDD (SATA 6Gbps, 7.2k rpm) [512e]	ST1000NX0313 *1 *3
	BC-SATA HDD (SATA 6Gbps, 7.2k rpm) [512n]	ST2000NX0403 *1 *3
SSD	SAS SSD (SAS 12Gbps, Write Intensive)	KPM51MUG400G *2 *3
		KPM51MUG800G *2 *3
		KPM51MUG1T60 *2 *3
	SAS SSD (SAS 12Gbps, Mixed Use)	WUSTR6440ASS204 *2 *3
		WUSTR6480ASS204 *2 *3
		WUSTR6416ASS204 *2 *3
		WUSTR6432ASS204 *2 *3
		WUSTR6464ASS204 *2 *3
	SAS SSD (SAS 12Gbps, Read Intensive)	WUSTR1548ASS204 *2 *3
		WUSTR1596ASS204 *2 *3
		WUSTR1519ASS204 *2 *3
		WUSTR1538ASS204 *2 *3
		WUSTR1576ASS204 *2 *3
		WUSTR1515ASS204 *2 *3
	SATA SSD (SATA 6Gbps, Mixed Use)	MZ7KH240HAHQ *2 *3
		MZ7KH480HAHQ *2 *3
		MZ7KH960HAJR *2 *3
		MZ7KH1T9HAJR *2 *3
		MZ7KH3T8HALS *2 *3
	SATA SSD (SATA 6Gbps, Read Intensive)	MTFDDAK240TCB *2 *3
		MTFDDAK480TDC *2 *3
		MTFDDAK960TDC *2 *3
		MTFDDAK1T9TDC *2 *3
		MTFDDAK3T8TDC *2 *3
		MTFDDAK7T6TDC *2 *3

Controller: Integrated PCI Express controller
CPU: 2x Intel(R) Xeon(R) Gold 5222 (3.80GHz)

Storage media	Category	Drive Name
SSD	2.5 inch PCIe SSD (Write Intensive)	SSDPE21K750GA *2 *4
	2.5 inch PCIe SSD (Mixed Use)	SSDPE2KE016T8 *2 *4
		SSDPE2KE032T8 *2 *4
		SSDPE2KE064T8 *2 *4
	PCIe SSD (Write Intensive)	SSDPED1K375GA *2 *4
		SSDPED1K750GA *2 *4

Controller: Intel(R) C620 Standard SATA AHCI controller			
	Storage media	Category	Drive Name
	SSD	M.2 Flash Module	MTFDDAV240TCB *2 *4
			MTFDDAV480TCB *2 *4

*1) The operating system uses Microsoft Windows Server 2012 Standard R2.

*2) The operating system uses Microsoft Windows Server 2016 Standard.

*3) Measurement area is type 1.

*4) Measurement area is type 2.

Software

Operating system		Microsoft Windows Server 2012 Standard R2 Microsoft Windows Server 2016 Standard
Benchmark version		3.0
RAID type		Logical drive of type RAID 0 consisting of 1 hard disk
Stripe size		Controller default (here 64 KiB)
Measuring tool		Iometer 1.1.0
Measurement area	Type1	RAW file system is used. The first 10% of the usable LBA area is used for sequential accesses; the next 25% for random accesses.
	Type2	NTFS file system is used. The 32GiB area is secured for the first of the target drive and is used for sequential access and random access.
Total number of Iometer workers		1
Alignment of Iometer accesses		Aligned to whole multiples of 4096 bytes

Some components may not be available in all countries / sales regions.

Benchmark results

The results shown here are intended to help you select the appropriate storage media under the aspect of disk-I/O performance. For this purpose, a single storage medium was measured in the configuration specified in the subsection Benchmark environment.

Controller

The measurements were made using controllers in the table below.

Storage medium	Storage medium	Cache	Supported interfaces		RAID levels
			host	drive	
SSD/HDD	PRAID CP400i	-	8x PCIe 3.0	SATA 6G SAS 12G	0, 1, 1E, 10, 5, 50
PCIe SSD	Integrated PCI Express controller	-	4x PCIe 3.0		-
M.2 Flash	C620 Standard SATA AHCI controller	-	4x DMI 3.0	SATA 6G	-

Storage media

When selecting the type and number of storage media you can move the weighting in the direction of storage capacity, performance, security or price. The following types of HDD and SSD storage media can be PRIMERGY servers:

Model type	Storage medium type	Interface	Form factor
3.5 inch Model	HDD	SAS 12G	3.5 inch, or 2.5 inch ^{*1}
		SATA 6G	3.5 inch
	SSD	SAS 12G	2.5 inch ^{*1}
		SATA 6G	2.5 inch ^{*1} , or M.2
		PCIe 3.0	Add in card
2.5 inch Model	HDD	SAS 12G	2.5 inch
		SATA 6G	2.5 inch
	SSD	SAS 12G	2.5 inch
		SATA 6G	2.5 inch, or M.2
		PCIe 3.0	2.5

^{*1} It is available with a 3.5 inch cage.

HDDs and SSDs are operated via host bus adapters, usually RAID controllers, with a SATA or SAS interface. The interface of the RAID controller to the chipset of the systemboard is typically PCIe or, in the case of the integrated onboard controllers, an internal bus interface of the systemboard.

Of all the storage medium types SSDs offer by far the highest transaction rates for random load profiles as well as the shortest access times. In return, however, the price per gigabyte of storage capacity is substantially higher.

Cache settings

In most cases, the cache of HDDs has a great influence on disk-I/O performance. It is frequently regarded as a security problem in case of power failure and is thus switched off. On the other hand, it was integrated by hard disk manufacturers for the good reason of increasing the write performance. For performance reasons it is therefore advisable to enable the hard disk cache. To prevent data loss in case of power failure you are recommended to equip the system with a UPS.

For the purpose of easy and reliable handling of the settings for RAID controllers and hard disks it is advisable to use the RAID-Manager software "ServerView RAID" that is supplied for PRIMERGY servers. All the cache settings for controllers and hard disks can usually be made en bloc – specifically for the application – by using the pre-defined modi "Performance" or "Data Protection". The "Performance" mode ensures the best possible performance settings for the majority of the application scenarios.

Performance values

The performance values are summarized in the following tables, in each case specifically for a single storage medium and with various access types and block sizes. The established measurement variables, as already mentioned in the subsection [Benchmark description](#), are used here. Thus, transaction rate is specified for random accesses and data throughput for sequential accesses. To avoid any confusion among the measurement units the tables have been separated for the two access types.

The table cells contain the maximum achievable values. This means that each value is the maximum achievable value of the whole range of load intensities (# of Outstanding I/Os). In order to also visualize the numerical values each table cell is highlighted with a horizontal bar, the length of which is proportional to the numerical value in the table cell. All bars shown in the same scale of length have the same color. In other words, a visual comparison only makes sense for table cells with the same colored bars. Since the horizontal bars in the table cells depict the maximum achievable performance values, they are shown by the color getting lighter as you move from left to right. The light shade of color at the right end of the bar tells you that the value is a maximum value and can only be achieved under optimal prerequisites. The darker the shade becomes as you move to the left, the more frequently it will be possible to achieve the corresponding value in practice.

3.5 inch model storage media

HDDs

Capacity [GB]	Storage device	Inter face	Transactions [IO/s]			Throughput [MiB/s]	
			Database	Fileserver	Filecopy	Streaming	Restore
1,800	AL15SEB18EQ	SAS 12G	600	512	547	258	255
300	AL15SEB030N	SAS 12G	645	546	568	231	230
300	ST300MP0006	SAS 12G	768	662	472	304	304
12,000	HUH721212AL5204	SAS 12G	396	339	364	245	244
2,000	ST2000NM0045	SAS 12G	376	336	343	206	206
6,000	ST6000NM0115	SATA 6G	392	362	371	213	208
12,000	HUH721212ALE604	SATA 6G	350	313	341	246	246
1,000	HUS722T1TALA604	SATA 6G	287	264	269	201	201
2,000	ST2000NM0055	SATA 6G	339	301	314	196	195

SSD

Capacity [GB]	Storage device	Inter face	Transactions [IO/s]			Throughput [MiB/s]	
			Database	Fileserver	Filecopy	Streaming	Restore
400	KPM51MUG400G	SAS 12G	84,469	13,329	13,677	1,056	1,041
800	KPM51MUG800G	SAS 12G	99,728	14,549	18,049	1,056	1,042
1,600	KPM51MUG1T60	SAS 12G	108,428	17,243	19,634	1,057	1,042
400	WUSTR6440ASS204	SAS 12G	83,427	14,459	13,924	1,073	626
800	WUSTR6480ASS204	SAS 12G	94,899	22,414	21,187	1,073	1,008
1,600	WUSTR6416ASS204	SAS 12G	97,107	24,053	22,802	1,073	1,029
3,200	WUSTR6432ASS204	SAS 12G	106,745	23,975	22,793	1,073	1,030
480	WUSTR1548ASS204	SAS 12G	77,846	11,663	9,904	1,055	554
960	WUSTR1596ASS204	SAS 12G	88,384	18,834	16,636	1,067	965
1,920	WUSTR1519ASS204	SAS 12G	89,397	21,635	21,597	1,073	1,030
3,840	WUSTR1538ASS204	SAS 12G	99,644	23,727	22,831	1,073	1,030
7,680	WUSTR1576ASS204	SAS 12G	106,933	23,688	22,644	1,073	1,030
240	MZ7KH240HAHQ	SATA 6G	49,159	7,313	7,431	526	486
480	MZ7KH480HAHQ	SATA 6G	50,558	7,774	7,810	526	485
960	MZ7KH960HAJR	SATA 6G	50,647	7,793	7,916	525	485
1,920	MZ7KH1T9HAJR	SATA 6G	50,702	8,040	7,960	526	485
3,840	MZ7KH3T8HALS	SATA 6G	50,766	8,039	7,936	526	485
240	MTFDDAK240TCB	SATA 6G	18,959	3,367	4,516	487	258
480	MTFDDAK480TDC	SATA 6G	24,710	3,799	5,006	507	362
960	MTFDDAK960TDC	SATA 6G	30,152	4,625	5,553	507	440
1,920	MTFDDAK1T9TDC	SATA 6G	37,234	5,606	5,566	507	483
3,840	MTFDDAK3T8TDC	SATA 6G	41,711	6,429	6,133	504	481
7,680	MTFDDAK7T6TDC	SATA 6G	40,683	6,874	6,672	469	482
375	SSDPED1K375GA	PCIe3 x4	212,118	37,121	36,123	2,460	2,197
750	SSDPED1K750GA	PCIe3 x4	209,628	37,592	36,941	2,546	2,296
240	MTFDDAV240TCB	SATA 6G	19,773	3,844	4,968	487	258
480	MTFDDAV480TCB	SATA 6G	22,258	4,935	6,294	509	403

2.5 inch model storage media

HDDs

Capacity [GB]	Storage device	Inter face	Transactions [IO/s]			Throughput [MiB/s]	
			Database	Fileserver	Filecopy	Streaming	Restore
600	AL15SEB06EQ	SAS 12G	592	516	544	260	260
300	AL15SEB030N	SAS 12G	645	546	568	231	230
300	ST300MP0006	SAS 12G	768	662	472	304	304
1,000	ST1000NX0453	SAS 12G	371	321	306	137	137
1,000	ST1000NX0313	SATA 6G	324	281	288	131	131
2,000	ST2000NX0403	SATA 6G	326	286	294	133	133

SSD

Capacity [GB]	Storage device	Inter face	Transactions [IO/s]			Throughput [MiB/s]	
			Database	Fileserver	Filecopy	Streaming	Restore
400	KPM51MUG400G	SAS 12G	84,469	13,329	13,677	1,056	1,041
800	KPM51MUG800G	SAS 12G	99,728	14,549	18,049	1,056	1,042
1,600	KPM51MUG1T60	SAS 12G	108,428	17,243	19,634	1,057	1,042
400	WUSTR6440ASS204	SAS 12G	83,427	14,459	13,924	1,073	626
800	WUSTR6480ASS204	SAS 12G	94,899	22,414	21,187	1,073	1,008
1,600	WUSTR6416ASS204	SAS 12G	97,107	24,053	22,802	1,073	1,029
3,200	WUSTR6432ASS204	SAS 12G	106,745	23,975	22,793	1,073	1,030
6,400	WUSTR6464ASS204	SAS 12G	111,695	23,911	22,639	1,073	1,030
480	WUSTR1548ASS204	SAS 12G	77,846	11,663	9,904	1,055	554
960	WUSTR1596ASS204	SAS 12G	88,384	18,834	16,636	1,067	965
1,920	WUSTR1519ASS204	SAS 12G	89,397	21,635	21,597	1,073	1,030
3,840	WUSTR1538ASS204	SAS 12G	99,644	23,727	22,831	1,073	1,030
7,680	WUSTR1576ASS204	SAS 12G	106,933	23,688	22,644	1,073	1,030
15,360	WUSTR1515ASS204	SAS 12G	107,687	23,590	22,686	1,073	1,029
240	MZ7KH240HAHQ	SATA 6G	49,159	7,313	7,431	526	486
480	MZ7KH480HAHQ	SATA 6G	50,558	7,774	7,810	526	485
960	MZ7KH960HAJR	SATA 6G	50,647	7,793	7,916	525	485
1,920	MZ7KH1T9HAJR	SATA 6G	50,702	8,040	7,960	526	485
3,840	MZ7KH3T8HALS	SATA 6G	50,766	8,039	7,936	526	485
240	MTFDDAK240TCB	SATA 6G	18,959	3,367	4,516	487	258
480	MTFDDAK480TDC	SATA 6G	24,710	3,799	5,006	507	362
960	MTFDDAK960TDC	SATA 6G	30,152	4,625	5,553	507	440
1,920	MTFDDAK1T9TDC	SATA 6G	37,234	5,606	5,566	507	483
3,840	MTFDDAK3T8TDC	SATA 6G	41,711	6,429	6,133	504	481
7,680	MTFDDAK7T6TDC	SATA 6G	40,683	6,874	6,672	469	482
750	SSDPE21K750GA	PCIe3 x4	214,231	37,611	36,957	2,546	2,295
1,600	SSDPE2KE016T8	PCIe3 x4	135,500	41,066	37,080	3,213	1,917
3,200	SSDPE2KE032T8	PCIe3 x4	136,782	48,210	45,348	3,209	2,800
6,400	SSDPE2KE064T8	PCIe3 x4	192,245	51,767	51,438	3,205	3,048
375	SSDPED1K375GA	PCIe3 x4	212,118	37,121	36,123	2,460	2,197
750	SSDPED1K750GA	PCIe3 x4	209,628	37,592	36,941	2,546	2,296
240	MTFDDAV240TCB	SATA 6G	19,773	3,844	4,968	487	258
480	MTFDDAV480TCB	SATA 6G	22,258	4,935	6,294	509	403

OLTP-2

Benchmark description

OLTP stands for Online Transaction Processing. The OLTP-2 benchmark is based on the typical application scenario of a database solution. In OLTP-2 database access is simulated and the number of transactions achieved per second (tps) determined as the unit of measurement for the system.


In contrast to benchmarks such as SPECint and TPC-E, which were standardized by independent bodies and for which adherence to the respective rules and regulations are monitored, OLTP-2 is an internal benchmark of Fujitsu. OLTP-2 is based on the well-known database benchmark TPC-E. OLTP-2 was designed in such a way that a wide range of configurations can be measured to present the scaling of a system with regard to the CPU and memory configuration.

Even if the two benchmarks OLTP-2 and TPC-E simulate similar application scenarios using the same load profiles, the results cannot be compared or even treated as equal, as the two benchmarks use different methods to simulate user load. OLTP-2 values are typically similar to TPC-E values. A direct comparison, or even referring to the OLTP-2 result as TPC-E, is not permitted, especially because there is no price-performance calculation.

Further information can be found in the document [Benchmark Overview OLTP-2](#).

Benchmark environment

The typical measurement set-up is illustrated below:



All OLTP-2 results were Calculated based on the configuration of the next following pages of PRIMERGY RX2540 M5.

Database Server (Tier B)**Hardware**

• Model	PRIMERGY RX2540 M5
• Processor	2nd Generation Intel Xeon Scalable Processors Family
• Memory	1 processor: 12 ×64 GB (1x64 GB) 2Rx4 DDR4-2933 ECC 2 processors: 24 ×64 GB (1x64 GB) 2Rx4 DDR4-2933 ECC
• Network interface	1 × Dual port onboard LAN 10 Gb/s
• Disk subsystem	RX2540 M5: Onboard RAID controller PRAID EP420i 2 × 300 GB 10k rpm SAS Drive, RAID 1 (OS), 6 × 1.6 TB SSD, RAID 10 (LOG) 4 × 1.6 TB SSD, RAID 10 (temp) 5 × PRAID EP540e 5 × JX40 S2 : 9 × 1.6 TB SSD Drive each, RAID5 (data)

Software

• BIOS	Version R1.2.0
• Operating system	Microsoft Windows Server 2016 Standard + KB4462928
• Database	Microsoft SQL Server 2017 Enterprise + KB4341265

Application Server (Tier A)**Hardware**

• Model	1 × PRIMERGY RX2530 M4
• Processor	2 × Xeon Platinum 8180
• Memory	192 GB, 2666 MHz Registered ECC DDR4
• Network interface	1 × Dual Port onboard LAN 10 Gb/s 1 × Dual Port LAN 1 Gb/s
• Disk subsystem	2 × 300 GB 10k rpm SAS Drive

Software

• Operating system	Microsoft Windows Server 2016 Standard
--------------------	----------------------------------------

Client**Hardware**

• Model	1 × PRIMERGY RX2530 M2
• Processor	2 × Xeon E5-2667 v4
• Memory	128 GB, 2400 MHz registered ECC DDR4
• Network interface	1 × onboard Quad Port LAN 1 Gb/s
• Disk subsystem	1 × 300 GB 10k rpm SAS Drive

Software

• Operating system	Microsoft Windows Server 2012 R2 Standard
• Benchmark	OLTP-2 Software EGen version 1.14.0

Some components may not be available in all countries / sales regions.

Benchmark results

Database performance greatly depends on the configuration options with CPU, memory and on the connectivity of an adequate disk subsystem for the database. In the following scaling considerations for the processors we assume that both the memory and the disk subsystem has been adequately chosen and is not a bottleneck.

A guideline in the database environment for selecting main memory is that sufficient quantity is more important than the speed of the memory accesses. This why a configuration with a total memory of 1536 GB was considered for the measurements with two processors and a configuration with a total memory of 768 GB for the measurements with one processor. Both memory configurations have memory access of 2933 MHz.

The result with "est." are the estimated values.


Processor	Cores	Threads	2CPU Score	1CPU Score
April 2019 released				
Xeon Platinum 8280L	28	56	6,732 est.	3,699 est.
Xeon Platinum 8280M	28	56	6,732 est.	3,699 est.
Xeon Platinum 8280	28	56	6,732 est.	3,699 est.
Xeon Platinum 8276L	28	56	5,848 est.	3,213 est.
Xeon Platinum 8276M	28	56	5,848 est.	3,213 est.
Xeon Platinum 8276	28	56	5,848 est.	3,213 est.
Xeon Platinum 8270	26	52	6,233 est.	3,425 est.
Xeon Platinum 8268	24	48	5,984 est.	3,288 est.
Xeon Platinum 8260L	24	48	5,440 est.	2,989 est.
Xeon Platinum 8260M	24	48	5,440 est.	2,989 est.
Xeon Platinum 8260Y	24	48	5,440 est.	2,989 est.
	20	40	4,734 est.	2,601 est.
	16	32	4,104 est.	2,255 est.
Xeon Platinum 8260	24	48	5,440 est.	2,989 est.
Xeon Gold 6262V	24	48	4,805 est.	2,640 est.
Xeon Gold 6254	18	36	4,987 est.	2,740 est.
Xeon Gold 6252	24	48	5,191 est.	2,852 est.
Xeon Gold 6248	20	40	4,760 est.	2,615 est.
Xeon Gold 6246	12	24	3,547 est.	1,949 est.
Xeon Gold 6244	8	16	2,697 est.	1,482 est.
Xeon Gold 6242	16	32	4,148 est.	2,279 est.
Xeon Gold 6240L	18	36	4,352 est.	2,391 est.
Xeon Gold 6240M	18	36	4,352 est.	2,391 est.
Xeon Gold 6240Y	18	36	4,352 est.	2,391 est.
	14	28	3,559 est.	1,955 est.
	8	16	2,224 est.	1,222 est.
Xeon Gold 6240	18	36	4,352 est.	2,391 est.
Xeon Gold 6238L	22	44	4,533 est.	2,491 est.
Xeon Gold 6238M	22	44	4,533 est.	2,491 est.
Xeon Gold 6238	22	44	4,533 est.	2,491 est.
Xeon Gold 6234	8	16	2,516 est.	1,382 est.
Xeon Gold 6230	20	40	4,284 est.	2,354 est.


Xeon Gold 6226	12	24	3,196	est.	1,756	est.
Xeon Gold 6222V	20	40	3,876	est.	2,130	est.
Xeon Gold 6212U	24	48			2,765	est.
Xeon Gold 6210U	20	40			2,448	est.
Xeon Gold 6209U	20	40			2,132	est.
Xeon Gold 5222	4	8	1,321	est.	726	est.
Xeon Gold 5220S	18	36	4,035	est.	2,217	est.
Xeon Gold 5220	18	36	4,035	est.	2,217	est.
Xeon Gold 5218B	16	32	3,672	est.	2,018	est.
Xeon Gold 5218	16	32	3,672	est.	2,018	est.
Xeon Gold 5217	8	16	2,171	est.	1,193	est.
Xeon Gold 5215L	10	20	2,403	est.	1,320	est.
Xeon Gold 5215M	10	20	2,403	est.	1,320	est.
Xeon Gold 5215	10	20	2,403	est.	1,320	est.
Xeon Silver 4216	16	32	3,332	est.	1,831	est.
Xeon Silver 4215	8	16	1,952	est.	1,072	est.
Xeon Silver 4214Y	12	24	2,607	est.	1,432	est.
	10	20	2,172	est.	1,194	est.
	8	16	1,876	est.	1,031	est.
Xeon Silver 4214	12	24	2,607	est.	1,432	est.
Xeon Silver 4210	10	20	2,221	est.	1,221	est.
Xeon Silver 4208	8	16	1,675	est.	920	est.
Xeon Bronze 3204	6	6	811	est.	446	est.

March 2020 released

Xeon Gold 6258R	28	56	6,499	est.	3,571	est.
Xeon Gold 6256	12	24	3,767	est.	2,070	est.
Xeon Gold 6250	8	16	2,804	est.	1,541	est.
Xeon Gold 6248R	24	48	5,957	est.	3,273	est.
Xeon Gold 6246R	16	32	4,572	est.	2,512	est.
Xeon Gold 6242R	20	40	5,217	est.	2,867	est.
Xeon Gold 6240R	24	48	5,239	est.	2,878	est.
Xeon Gold 6238R	28	56	5,656	est.	3,108	est.
Xeon Gold 6230R	26	52	5,336	est.	2,932	est.
Xeon Gold 6226R	16	32	4,001	est.	2,198	est.
Xeon Gold 6208U	16	32			1,153	est.
Xeon Gold 5220R	24	48	4,987	est.	2,740	est.
Xeon Gold 5218R	20	40	4,203	est.	2,309	est.
Xeon Silver 4215R	8	16	2,043	est.	1,122	est.
Xeon Silver 4214R	12	24	2,622	est.	1,441	est.
Xeon Silver 4210R	10	20	2,225	est.	1,223	est.
Xeon Bronze 3206R	8	8	1,052	est.	578	est.

The following diagram shows the OLTP-2 transaction rates that can be achieved with one and two processors of the 2nd Generation Intel Xeon Processor Scalable Family.






It is evident that a wide performance range is covered by the variety of released processors. If you compare the OLTP-2 value of the processor with the lowest performance (Xeon Bronze 3204) with the value of the processor with the highest performance (Xeon Platinum 8280), the result is an 8-fold increase in performance.

The features of the processors are summarized in the section "Technical data".

The relatively large performance differences between the processors can be explained by their features. The values scale on the basis of the number of cores, the size of the L3 cache and the CPU clock frequency and as a result of the features of Hyper-Threading and turbo mode, which are available in most processor types. Furthermore, the data transfer rate between processors ("UPI Speed") also determines the performance.

Within a group of processors with the same number of cores, scaling can be seen via the CPU clock frequency.

If you compare the maximum achievable OLTP-2 values of the current system generation with the values that were achieved on the predecessor systems, the result is an increase of about 2%.



vServCon


Benchmark description

vServCon is a benchmark used by Fujitsu to compare server configurations with hypervisor with regard to their suitability for server consolidation. This allows both the comparison of systems, processors and I/O technologies as well as the comparison of hypervisors, virtualization forms, and additional drivers for virtual machines.

vServCon is not a new benchmark in the true sense of the word. It is more a framework that combines already established benchmarks (or in modified form) as workloads in order to reproduce the load of a consolidated and virtualized server environment. Three proven benchmarks are used which cover the application scenarios database, application server, and web server.

Application scenario	Benchmark	No. of logical CPU cores	Memory
Database	Sysbench (adapted)	2	1.5 GB
Java application server	SPECjbb (adapted, with 50% - 60% load)	2	2 GB
Web server	WebBench	1	1.5 GB

Each of the three application scenarios is allocated to a dedicated virtual machine (VM). A fourth machine, the so-called idle VM, is added to these. These four VMs make up a "tile". Depending on the performance capability of the underlying server hardware, you may as part of a measurement also have to start several identical tiles in parallel in order to achieve a maximum performance score.



Each of the three vServCon application scenarios provides a specific benchmark result in the form of application-specific transaction rates for the respective VM. In order to derive a normalized score, the individual benchmark result for one tile is put in relation to the respective result of a reference system. The resulting relative performance value is then suitably weighted and finally added up for all VMs and tiles. The outcome is a score for this tile number.


As a general rule, start with one tile, and this procedure is performed for an increasing number of tiles until no further significant increase in this vServCon score occurs. The final vServCon score is then the maximum of the vServCon scores for all tile numbers. This score thus reflects the maximum total throughput that can be achieved by running the mix defined in vServCon that consists of numerous VMs up to the possible full utilization of CPU resources. This is why the measurement environment for vServCon measurements is designed in such a way that only the CPU is the limiting factor and that no limitations occur as a result of other resources.

The progression of the vServCon scores for the tile numbers provides useful information about the scaling behavior of the "System under Test".

A detailed description of vServCon is in the document: [Benchmark Overview vServCon](#).

Benchmark environment

The typical measurement set-up is illustrated below:



All the benchmark results were measured with the following environment:

System Under Test (SUT)	
Hardware	
• Processor	2nd Generation Intel Xeon Scalable Processors Family
• Memory	24 × 32 GB (1x32 GB) 2Rx4 DDR4-2933 R ECC
• Network interface	2 × Intel Ethernet Controller X710 for 10GbE SFP+
• Disk subsystem	1 ×dual-channel FC controller Emulex LPe160021 LINUX/LIO based flash storage system
Software	
• Operating system	VMware ESXi 6.7 EP06 Build 11675023

Load generator (incl. Framework controller)	
Hardware (Shared)	
• Enclosure	5 × PRIMERGY RX2530 M2
Hardware	
• Processor	2 × XeonE5-2683 v4
• Memory	128 GB
• Network interface	3 × 1 Gbit LAN
Software	
• Operating system	VMware ESXi 6.0.0 U1b Build 3380124

Load generator VM (on various servers)

Hardware

• Processor	1 × logical CPU
• Memory	4048 MB
• Network interface	2 × 1 Gbit/s LAN

Software

• Operating system	Microsoft Windows Server 2008 Standard Edition 32 bit
--------------------	-------------------------------------------------------

Some components may not be available in all countries or sales regions.

Benchmark results

The PRIMERGY dual-socket rack and tower systems dealt with here are based on processors of the 2nd Generation Intel Xeon Processor. The features of the processors are summarized in the section "Technical data".

The available processors of these systems with their results can be seen in the following table.

The result with "est." are the estimated values.

Processor	Cores	Threads	Number of Processors	#Tiles	Score
April 2019 released					
Xeon Platinum 8280L	28	56	2	35 est.	61.7 est.
Xeon Platinum 8280M	28	56	2	35 est.	61.7 est.
Xeon Platinum 8280	28	56	2	35	61.7
Xeon Platinum 8276L	28	56	2	34 est.	53.1 est.
Xeon Platinum 8276M	28	56	2	34 est.	53.1 est.
Xeon Platinum 8276	28	56	2	34 est.	53.1 est.
Xeon Platinum 8270	26	52	2	33	57.3
Xeon Platinum 8268	24	48	2	33	55.6
Xeon Platinum 8260L	24	48	2	31 est.	49.9 est.
Xeon Platinum 8260M	24	48	2	31 est.	49.9 est.
Xeon Platinum 8260Y	24	48	2	31 est.	49.9 est.
	20	40	2	28 est.	43.4 est.
	16	32	2	26 est.	37.6 est.
Xeon Platinum 8260	24	48	2	31 est.	49.9 est.
Xeon Gold 6262V	24	48	2	28 est.	44 est.
Xeon Gold 6254	18	36	2	26 est.	44 est.
Xeon Gold 6252	24	48	2	29 est.	49.6 est.
Xeon Gold 6248	20	40	2	28	43.7
Xeon Gold 6246	12	24	2	20	32.4
Xeon Gold 6244	8	16	2	15 est.	24.4 est.
Xeon Gold 6242	16	32	2	26 est.	38 est.
Xeon Gold 6240L	18	36	2	27 est.	39.9 est.
Xeon Gold 6240M	18	36	2	27 est.	39.9 est.
Xeon Gold 6240Y	18	36	2	27 est.	39.9 est.
	14	28	2	23 est.	29.5 est.
	8	16	2	13 est.	19.9 est.
Xeon Gold 6240	18	36	2	27 est.	39.9 est.
Xeon Gold 6238L	22	44	2	29 est.	45.8 est.
Xeon Gold 6238M	22	44	2	29 est.	45.8 est.
Xeon Gold 6238	22	44	2	29	45.8
Xeon Gold 6234	8	16	2	15 est.	23.1 est.
Xeon Gold 6230	20	40	2	26 est.	39.3 est.
Xeon Gold 6226	12	24	2	21 est.	29.3 est.
Xeon Gold 6222V	20	40	2	25 est.	35.5 est.
Xeon Gold 6212U	24	48	1	29 est.	25.1 est.
Xeon Gold 6210U	20	40	1	20 est.	22.2 est.
Xeon Gold 6209U	20	40	1	17 est.	19.5 est.


Xeon Gold 5222	4	8	2	8	est.	11.3	est.
Xeon Gold 5220S	18	36	2	26	est.	37	est.
Xeon Gold 5220	18	36	2	26	est.	37	est.
Xeon Gold 5218B	16	32	2	23	est.	33.6	est.
Xeon Gold 5218	16	32	2	23	est.	33.6	est.
Xeon Gold 5217	8	16	2	14	est.	19.9	est.
Xeon Gold 5215L	10	20	2	18	est.	20.9	est.
Xeon Gold 5215M	10	20	2	18	est.	20.9	est.
Xeon Gold 5215	10	20	2	18	est.	20.9	est.
Xeon Silver 4216	16	32	2	25	est.	30.5	est.
Xeon Silver 4215	8	16	2	14	est.	17.9	est.
Xeon Silver 4214Y	12	24	2	19	est.	24.3	est.
	10	20	2	16	est.	20.9	est.
	8	16	2	12	est.	18.3	est.
Xeon Silver 4214	12	24	2	20	est.	23.9	est.
Xeon Silver 4210	10	20	2	18	est.	20.4	est.
Xeon Silver 4208	8	16	2	13	est.	15.4	est.
Xeon Bronze 3204	6	6	2	10		7.4	


March 2020 released

Xeon Gold 6258R	28	56	2	34		59.6	
Xeon Gold 6256	12	24	2	25		34.5	
Xeon Gold 6250	8	16	2	16		25.7	
Xeon Gold 6248R	24	48	2	32		54.6	
Xeon Gold 6246R	16	32	2	29		41.9	
Xeon Gold 6242R	20	40	2	31		47.8	
Xeon Gold 6240R	24	48	2	30		48	
Xeon Gold 6238R	28	56	2	33		51.8	
Xeon Gold 6230R	26	52	2	28		48.9	
Xeon Gold 6226R	16	32	2	25		36.7	
Xeon Gold 6208U	16	32	1	18		19.6	
Xeon Gold 5220R	24	48	2	27		45.7	
Xeon Gold 5218R	20	40	2	25		38.5	
Xeon Silver 4215R	8	16	2	15		18.7	
Xeon Silver 4214R	12	24	2	20		24	
Xeon Silver 4210R	10	20	2	18		20.4	
Xeon Bronze 3206R	8	8	2	13		9.6	

These PRIMERGY dual-socket rack and tower systems are very suitable for application virtualization owing to the progress made in processor technology. Compared with a system based on the previous processor generation, approximately 2.9% higher virtualization performance can be achieved (measured in vServCon score in their maximum configuration).

The following diagram compares the virtualization performance values that can be achieved with the processors reviewed here.






The relatively large performance differences between the processors can be explained by their features. The values scale on the basis of the number of cores, the size of the L3 cache and the CPU clock frequency and as a result of the features of Hyper-Threading and turbo mode, which are available in most processor types. Furthermore, the data transfer rate between processors ("UPI Speed") also determines performance.

Within a group of processors with the same number of cores scaling can be seen via the CPU clock frequency.

A low performance can be seen in the Xeon Bronze 3204 processor, as they have to manage without Hyper-Threading (HT) and turbo mode (TM). In principle, these weakest processors are only to a limited extent suitable for the virtualization environment.


As a matter of principle, the memory access speed also influences performance. A guideline in the virtualization environment for selecting main memory is that sufficient quantity is more important than the speed of the memory accesses. The vServCon scaling measurements presented here were all performed with a memory access speed – depending on the processor type – of at most 2933 MHz.



Until now, we have looked at the virtualization performance of a fully configured system. However, with a server with four sockets, the question also arises as to how good performance scaling is from one to two processors. The better the scaling, the lower the overhead usually caused by the shared use of resources within a server. The scaling factor also depends on the application. If the server is used as a virtualization platform for server consolidation, the system scales with a factor of 1.98. When operated with two processors, the system thus achieves twice the performance as with one processor, as is illustrated in this diagram using the processor version Xeon Platinum 8280 as an example.

The next diagram illustrates the virtualization performance for increasing numbers of VMs based on the Xeon Gold 6244 (20-Core) processors.

In addition to the increased number of physical cores, Hyper-Threading, which is supported by almost all processors of the 2nd Generation Intel Xeon Processor Scalable Product Family, is an additional reason for the high number of VMs that can be operated. As is known, a physical processor core is consequently divided into two logical cores so that the number of cores available for the hypervisor is doubled. This standard feature thus generally increases the virtualization performance of a system.




The previous diagram examined the total performance of all application VMs of a host. However, studying the performance from an individual application VM viewpoint is also interesting. This information is in the previous diagram. For example, the total optimum is reached in the above Xeon Gold 6248 situation with 84 application VMs (28 tiles, not including the idle VMs). The low load case is represented by three application VMs (one tile, not including the idle VM). Remember, the vServCon score for one tile is an average value across the three application scenarios in vServCon. This average performance of one tile drops when changing from the low load case to the total optimum of the vServCon score – from 2.5 to $43.7/28=1.5$, i.e. to 67%. The individual types of application VMs can react very differently in the high load situation. It is thus clear that in a specific situation the performance requirements of an individual application must be balanced against the overall requirements regarding the numbers of VMs on a virtualization host.

The performance for an individual VM in low-load situations has only slightly increased for the processors compared here with the highest clock frequency per core. We must explicitly point out that the increased virtualization performance as seen in the score cannot be completely deemed as an improvement for one individual VM.

Performance increases in the virtualization environment since 2010 are mainly achieved by increases in the maximum number of VMs that can be operated.

	Best Maximum Performance CPU	vServCon Score max.
2008	X5460	2.94@2 Tile
2009	X5570	6.08@ 6 Tile
2011	X5690	9.61@ 9 Tile
2012	E5-2690	13.5@ 8 Tile
2013	E5-2697 v2	17.1@11 Tile
2014	E5-2699 v3	30.3@18 Tile
2016	E5-2699 v4	38.7@22 Tile
2017	Platinum 8180	59.4@34 Tile
2019	Platinum 8280	61.7@35 Tile



Literature

PRIMERGY Servers

<https://www.fujitsu.com/global/products/computing/servers/primergy/>

PRIMERGY RX2530 M5

This White Paper:



<https://docs.ts.fujitsu.com/dl.aspx?id=e9e8326c-5fe9-4900-b039-411ea99c5779>



<https://docs.ts.fujitsu.com/dl.aspx?id=d29851e1-76d5-4780-b52d-ca04f6883f42>

Data sheet

<https://docs.ts.fujitsu.com/dl.aspx?id=e6102f2f-76da-4673-909c-c1d191ce2b31>

PRIMERGY Performance

<https://www.fujitsu.com/global/products/computing/servers/primergy/benchmarks/>

SPEC CPU2017

<https://www.spec.org/osg/cpu2017>

Benchmark Overview SPECcpu2017

<https://docs.ts.fujitsu.com/dl.aspx?id=20f1f4e2-5b3c-454a-947f-c169fca51eb1>

STREAM

<https://www.cs.virginia.edu/stream/>

LINPACK

The LINPACK Benchmark: Past, Present, and Future

<https://www.netlib.org/utk/people/JackDongarra/PAPERS/hplpaper.pdf>

TOP500

<https://www.top500.org/>

HPL - A Portable Implementation of the High-Performance Linpack Benchmark for Distributed-Memory Computers

<https://www.netlib.org/benchmark/hpl/>

Intel Math Kernel Library – LINPACK Download

<https://www.intel.com/content/www/us/en/developer/articles/technical/onemkl-benchmarks-suite.html>

SPECpower_ssj2008

https://www.spec.org/power_ssj2008

Benchmark Overview SPECpower_ssj2008

<https://docs.ts.fujitsu.com/dl.aspx?id=166f8497-4bf0-4190-91a1-884b90850ee0>

SPECjbb2015

<https://www.spec.org/jbb2015/>

OLTP-2

Benchmark Overview OLTP-2

<https://docs.ts.fujitsu.com/dl.aspx?id=e6f7a4c9-aff6-4598-b199-836053214d3f>

vServCon

Benchmark Overview vServCon

<https://docs.ts.fujitsu.com/dl.aspx?id=b953d1f3-6f98-4b93-95f5-8c8ba3db4e59>

Document change history

Version	Date	Description
1.5	2023-10-03	Updated: • New Visual Identity format
1.4	2021-07-28	Updated: • Contact information and URLs Updated to the latest one • Minor correction
1.3	2020-05-29	Updated: • Technical data Fixed typo in processor specifications • SPECcpu2017 Measured Xeon Gold 6238R SPECrate2017int_base • STREAM, LINPACK Fixed typo in processor specifications and updated measurements
1.2	2020-04-24	Updated: • Technical data Added 2nd Generation Intel Xeon Processor Scalable Family • SPECcpu2017, OLTP-2 , vServCon, STREAM, LINPACK Measured or Calculated additionally with 2nd Generation Intel Xeon Processor Scalable Family
1.1	2019-10-04	New: • Disk I/O: Performance of storage media Results for 2.5" and 3.5" storage media • STREAM, LINPACK Measured with 2nd Generation Intel Xeon Processor Scalable Family Updated: • SPECcpu2017 Measured additionally with 2nd Generation Intel Xeon Processor Scalable Family

Document change history

Version	Date	Description
1.0	2019-04-30	New: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Technical data• SPECcpu2017 Measurements with 2nd Generation Intel Xeon Processor Scalable Family• SPECpower_ssj2008 Measurement with Intel Xeon Platinum 8276L• SPECjbb2015 Measurements with Intel Xeon Platinum 8280L• OLTP-2 Calculated with 2nd Generation Intel Xeon Processor Scalable Family• vServCon Calculated with 2nd Generation Intel Xeon Processor Scalable Family

Contact

Fujitsu

Web site: <https://www.fujitsu.com>

PRIMERGY Performance and Benchmarks

<mailto:fj-benchmark@dl.jp.fujitsu.com>

© Fujitsu 2023. All rights reserved. Fujitsu and Fujitsu logo are trademarks of Fujitsu Limited registered in many jurisdictions worldwide. Other product, service and company names mentioned herein may be trademarks of Fujitsu or other companies. This document is current as of the initial date of publication and subject to be changed by Fujitsu without notice. This material is provided for information purposes only and Fujitsu assumes no liability related to its use.